THE TORUS EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF SPRINGER VARIETIES

メタデータ	言語: English
	出版者: OCAMI
	公開日: 2019-09-19
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: 阿部, 拓, 堀口, 達也
	メールアドレス:
	所属: Osaka City University, Osaka City University
URL	https://ocu-omu.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2016821

THE TORUS EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF SPRINGER VARIETIES

HIRAKU ABE AND TATSUYA HORIGUCHI

Citation	OCAMI Preprint Series
Issue Date	2014
Туре	Preprint
Textversion	Author
Rights	For personal use only. No other uses without permission.
Relation	The following article has been submitted to Topology and its Applications. After
	it is published, it will be found at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2016.05.004</u> .

From: Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute

http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/OCAMI/publication/preprint/preprint.html

THE TORUS EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY RINGS OF SPRINGER VARIETIES

HIRAKU ABE AND TATSUYA HORIGUCHI

ABSTRACT. The Springer variety of type A associated to a nilpotent operator on \mathbb{C}^n in Jordan canonical form admits a natural action of the ℓ -dimensional torus T^{ℓ} where ℓ is the number of the Jordan blocks. We give a presentation of the T^{ℓ} -equivariant cohomology ring of the Springer variety through an explicit construction of an action of the *n*-th symmetric group on the T^{ℓ} -equivariant cohomology group. The T^{ℓ} -equivariant analogue of so called Tanisaki's ideal will appear in the presentation.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Nilpotent Springer varieties and T^{ℓ} -fixed points	2
3.	An action of the symmetric group S_n on $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$	5
4.	Main theorem	7
5.	Proof of the main theorem	8
References		14

1. INTRODUCTION

The Springer variety of type A associated to a nilpotent operator $N : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ is a closed subvariety of the flag variety of \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$\{V_{\bullet} \in Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid NV_i \subseteq V_{i-1} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n\}.$$

When the operator N is in Jordan canonical form with Jordan blocks of weakly decreasing size $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell})$, we denote the Springer variety by S_{λ} . In 1970's, Springer constructed a representation of the *n*-th symmetric group S_n on the cohomology group $H^*(S_{\lambda}; \mathbb{C})$, and this representation on the top degree part is the irreducible representation of type λ ([7], [8]). DeConcini-Procesi [] used this representation to give a presentation of the cohomology ring $H^*(S_{\lambda}; \mathbb{C})$ as a quotient of a polynomial ring by an ideal. Tanisaki [9] gave another set of generators of this ideal which simplifies their presentation; this ideal is now called Tanisaki's ideal. We remark that his argument in [9] works also over \mathbb{Z} -coefficient. Our goal in this paper is to give an explicit presentation of the T^{ℓ} equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda}; \mathbb{Z})$ where we will explain the ℓ -dimensional torus T^{ℓ} below. In more detail, we will give a presentation as the quotient of a polynomial

Date: January 13, 2015.

ring by an ideal whose generators are generalizations of the generators of Tanisaki's ideal given in [9]. Through the forgetful map $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{Z})$, our presentation naturally induces the presentation of $H^*(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{Z})$ given in [9].

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a natural action of the ℓ -dimensional torus T^{ℓ} on the Springer variety S_{λ} for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell})$ and give the T^{ℓ} -fixed points $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ of the Springer variety S_{λ} where T^{ℓ} is defined by the following diagonal unitary matrices:

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 E_{\lambda_1} & & \\ & h_2 E_{\lambda_2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & h_\ell E_{\lambda_\ell} \end{pmatrix} \mid h_i \in \mathbb{C}, |h_i| = 1 \ (1 \le i \le \ell) \right\}.$$

Here, E_i is the identity matrix of size *i*. We construct an S_n -action on the equivariant cohomology group $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{Z})$ in Section 3 by using the localization technique which involves the equivariant cohomology of the T^{ℓ} -fixed points. We state the main theorem in Section 4, and prove it in Section 5 by using this S_n -action on $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{Z})$. Our method of the proof is the T^{ℓ} -equivariant analogue of [9].

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Professor Toshiyuki Tanisaki for valuable suggestions and kind teachings.

2. Nilpotent Springer varieties and T^{ℓ} -fixed points

We begin with a definition of type A nilpotent Springer varieties. We work with type A through out this paper and hence omit it in the following. We first recall that a flag variety $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ consists of nested subspaces of \mathbb{C}^n :

$$V_{\bullet} = (0 = V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \cdots \subset V_{n-1} \subset V_n = \mathbb{C}^n)$$

where $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_i = i$ for all *i*.

Definition. Let $N: \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a nilpotent operator. The **(nilpotent) Springer** variety S_N associated to N is the set of flags V_{\bullet} satisfying $NV_i \subseteq V_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since $S_{gNg^{-1}}$ is homeomorphic (in fact, isomorphic as algebraic varieties) to S_N for any invertible matrix $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, we may assume that N is a Jordan canonical form. In this paper, we consider the Springer variety

$$S_{\lambda} := \{ V_{\bullet} \in Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid N_0 V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le n \}$$

where N_0 is in Jordan canonical form with Jordan blocks of weakly decreasing sizes $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell).$

Let T^n be an *n*-dimensional torus consisting of diagonal unitary matrices:

(2.1)
$$T^{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g_{1} & & \\ & g_{2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & g_{n} \end{pmatrix} \mid g_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, |g_{i}| = 1 \ (1 \le i \le n) \right\}.$$

Then the *n*-dimensional torus T^n naturally acts on the flag variety $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$, but T^n does not preserve the Springer variety S_{λ} in general. So we introduce the following ℓ -dimensional torus:

(2.2)
$$T^{\ell} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 E_{\lambda_1} & & \\ & h_2 E_{\lambda_2} & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & h_{\ell} E_{\lambda_{\ell}} \end{pmatrix} \in T^n \mid h_i \in \mathbb{C}, |h_i| = 1 \ (1 \le i \le \ell) \right\}$$

where E_i is the identity matrix of size i and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$. Then the torus T^ℓ preserves the Springer variety S_{λ} . Our goal in this section is to give the T^{ℓ} -fixed point set $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$.

The T^n -fixed point set $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)^{T^n}$ of the flag variety $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by

$$\{(\langle e_{w(1)}\rangle \subset \langle e_{w(1)}, e_{w(2)}\rangle \subset \cdots \subset \langle e_{w(1)}, e_{w(2)}, \dots, e_{w(n)}\rangle = \mathbb{C}^n) \mid w \in S_n\}$$

where e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n is the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n and S_n is the symmetric group on n letters $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, so we may identify $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)^{T^n}$ with S_n .

Let w be an element of S_n satisfying the following property:

(2.3) for each $1 \le k \le \ell$, the numbers between $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1$ and $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ appear in the one-line notation of w as a subsequence in the increasing order.

Here, we write $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1 = 1$ when k = 1.

Example. We consider the case n = 6, $\ell = 3$, and $\lambda = (3, 2, 1)$. Using one-line notation, the following permutations

$$w_1 = 124365, \ w_2 = 416253, \ w_3 = 612435$$

satisfy the condition (2.3). In fact, each of the sequences (1, 2, 3), (4, 5), and (6) appears in the one-line notations as a subsequence in the increasing order.

Lemma 2.1. The T^{ℓ} -fixed points $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ of the Springer variety S_{λ} is the set $\{w \in S_n \mid w \text{ satisfy the condition } (2.3)\}.$

Proof. Let $w = V_{\bullet}$ be a permutation satisfying the condition (2.3). Since w(1) is equal to one of the numbers 1, $\lambda_1 + 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1$, ..., $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1$, we have $N_0V_1 \subseteq \{0\}$. If $w(1) = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1$, then w(2) is equal to one of the numbers 1, $\lambda_1 + 1$, $\ldots, \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 2, \ldots, \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1$. So we also have $N_0V_2 \subseteq V_1$. Continuing this argument, we have $N_0V_i \subseteq V_{i-1}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and it follows that the w is an element of \mathcal{S}_{λ} . On the other hand, the w is clearly fixed by T^{ℓ} , so the w is an element of $\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$.

Conversely, let V_{\bullet} be an element of $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$. Let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_j be generators for V_j where $v_j = (x_1^{(j)}, x_2^{(j)}, \cdots, x_n^{(j)})^t$ in \mathbb{C}^n for all j. Since we have

$$N_0v_1 = (\underbrace{x_2^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{\lambda_1}^{(1)}, 0}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+2}^{(1)}, \cdots, x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}^{(1)}, 0}_{\lambda_2}, \cdots, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{\ell-1}+2}^{(1)}, \cdots, x_n^{(1)}, 0}_{\lambda_\ell})^t,$$

the condition $N_0V_1 \subseteq V_0 = \{0\}$ implies that

(2.4)
$$v_1 = (\underbrace{x_1^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+1}^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_2}, \cdots, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{\ell-1}+1}^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_\ell})^t.$$

It follows that exactly one of $x_i^{(1)}$ $(i = 1, \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1)$ which appear in (2.4) is nonzero. In fact, V_{\bullet} is fixed by the T^{ℓ} -action and hence we have $\langle h \cdot v_1 \rangle = \langle v_1 \rangle$ for arbitrary $h \in T^{\ell}$ where

$$h \cdot v_1 = (\underbrace{h_1 x_1^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{h_2 x_{\lambda_1 + 1}^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_2}, \cdots , \underbrace{h_{\ell} x_{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1}^{(1)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_{\ell}})^t.$$

Since each h_i runs over all complex numbers whose absolute values are 1, only one of $x_i^{(1)}$ in (2.4) must be nonzero.

If $x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{k-1}+1}^{(1)}$ is nonzero for some $1 \le k \le \ell$, then we may assume that

$$v_1 = (0, \cdots, 0, 1, 0, \cdots, 0)^{\iota}, v_j = (x_1^{(j)}, \cdots, x_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1}}^{(j)}, 0, x_{\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 2}^{(j)}, \cdots, x_n^{(j)})^{\iota}$$

for $2 \leq j \leq n$ where the $(\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1)$ -th component of v_1 is one. Since we have

$$N_0 v_2 = (\underbrace{x_2^{(2)}, \cdots, x_{\lambda_1}^{(2)}, 0}_{\lambda_1}, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+2}^{(2)}, \cdots, x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}^{(2)}, 0}_{\lambda_2}, \cdots, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{\ell-1}+2}^{(2)}, \cdots, x_n^{(2)}, 0}_{\lambda_\ell})^t,$$

the condition $N_0V_2 \subseteq V_1$ implies that

(2.5)

$$v_2 = (\underbrace{x_1^{(2)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_1}, \cdots, \underbrace{0, x_{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 2}^{(2)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_k}, \cdots, \underbrace{x_{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1}^{(2)}, 0, \cdots, 0}_{\lambda_\ell})^t.$$

Therefore, we see that the only one of $x_i^{(2)}$ $(i = 1, \lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1)$ which appear in (2.5) is nonzero by an argument similar to that used above. Continuing this procedure, we conclude that $V_{\bullet} = w$ for some $w \in S_n$ satisfying the condition (2.3). In fact, w(1) is equal to one of the numbers $1, \lambda_1 + 1, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1$. If $w(1) = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1$, then w(2) is equal to one of the numbers $1, \lambda_1 + 1, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 2, \dots, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{\ell-1} + 1$ and so on. This means that for each $k = 1, \dots, \ell$ the numbers between $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1$ and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k$ appear in the one-line notation of w as a subsequence in the increasing order.

Regarding a product of symmetric groups $S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_\ell}$ as a subgroup of the symmetric group S_n , it follows from Lemma 2.1 that the T^{ℓ} -fixed points $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ of the Springer variety S_{λ} is identified with the right cosets $S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_\ell} \setminus S_n$ where each $w \in S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ corresponds to the right coset [w]. In fact, the condition (2.3) provides a unique representative for each right coset.

3. An action of the symmetric group S_n on $H^*_{T\ell}(\mathfrak{S}_{\lambda})$

In this section, we introduce an action of the symmetric group S_n on the equivariant cohomology group $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ over \mathbb{Z} -coefficient by using the localization technique. We will see that the projection map

$$\rho_{\lambda}: H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)) \to H^*_{T^{\ell}}(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda})$$

induced from the inclusions of S_{λ} into $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and T^{ℓ} into T^n is an S_n -equivariant map. In particular, we consider the following commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \qquad \begin{array}{c} H_{T^{n}}^{*}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^{n})) & \stackrel{\iota_{1}}{\longrightarrow} & H_{T^{n}}^{*}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^{n})^{T^{n}}) = \bigoplus_{w \in S_{n}} H^{*}(BT^{n}) \\ & & & & \\ H_{T^{\ell}}^{*}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}) & \stackrel{\iota_{2}}{\longrightarrow} & H_{T^{\ell}}^{*}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathbb{S}_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}} H^{*}(BT^{\ell}) \end{array}$$

where all the maps are induced from inclusion maps, and construct S_n -actions on the three modules $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$, $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} H^*(BT^n)$, and $\bigoplus_{w \in S_\lambda^{T^\ell}} H^*(BT^\ell)$ to construct an S_n -action on $H^*_{T^\ell}(S_\lambda)$. All (equivariant) cohomology rings are assumed to be over \mathbb{Z} -coefficient unless otherwise specified.

First, we introduce the left action of the symmetric group S_n on the cohomology group $H^*(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$. To do that, we consider the right S_n -action on the flag variety $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as follows.

For any $V_{\bullet} \in Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$, there exists $g \in U(n)$ so that $V_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{i} \mathbb{C}g(e_j)$, where $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ is the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^n . Then the right action of $w \in S_n$ on $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be defined by

$$(3.2) V_{\bullet} \cdot w = V_{\bullet}'$$

where $V'_i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^i \mathbb{C}g(e_{w(j)})$.

We recall an explicit presentation of the T^n -equivariant cohomology ring of the flag variety $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Let E_i be the subbundle of the trivial vector bundle $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \times \mathbb{C}^n$ over $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ whose fiber at a flag V_{\bullet} is just V_i . We denote the T^n -equivariant first Chern class of the line bundle E_i/E_{i-1} by $\bar{x}_i \in H^2_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Let \mathbb{C}_i be the one dimensional representation of T^n through a map $T^n \to S^1$ given by $diag(g_1, \ldots, g_n) \mapsto g_i$. We denote the first Chern class of the line bundle $ET^n \times_{T^n} \mathbb{C}_i$ over BT^n by $t_i \in H^2(BT^n)$. Since t_1, \ldots, t_n generate $H^*(BT^n)$ as a ring and they are algebraically independent, we identify $H^*(BT^n)$ with a polynomial ring;

$$H^*(BT^n) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$$

Then the equivariant cohomology $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ is generated by $\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n$ as a ring. Defining a surjective ring homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ to $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ by sending x_i to \bar{x}_i and t_i to t_i , its kernel \tilde{I} is generated as an ideal by $e_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n) - e_i(t_1, \ldots, t_n)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, where e_i is the *i*-th elementary symmetric polynomial. Thus, we have an isomorphism

(3.3)
$$H_{T^n}^*(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_n]/\tilde{I}.$$

The right action in (3.2) induces the following left action of the symmetric group S_n on $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$:

(3.4)
$$w \cdot \bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_{w(i)}, \ w \cdot t_i = t_i$$

for $w \in S_n$. In fact, the pullback of the line bundle E_i/E_{i-1} under the right action in (3.2) is exactly the line bundle $E_{w(i)}/E_{w(i)-1}$, and the right action in (3.2) is T^n -equivariant.

Second, we define a left action of $v \in S_n$ on the direct sum $\bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$ of the polynomial ring as follows:

$$(3.5) (v \cdot f)|_w = f|_{wv}$$

where $w \in S_n$ and $f \in \bigoplus_{w \in S_n} \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \ldots, t_n]$. Observe that the map ι_1 in (3.1) is the following mapping

(3.6)
$$\iota_1(\bar{x}_i)|_w = t_{w(i)}, \ \iota_1(t_i)|_w = t_i.$$

Note that it follows from (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) that the map ι_1 is S_n -equivariant map, i.e. $w \cdot (\iota_1(f)) = \iota_1(w \cdot f)$ for any $f \in H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $w \in S_n$.

To construct an S_n -action on $\bigoplus_{w \in S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}} H^*(BT^{\ell})$, we need some preparations. We identify $H^*(BT^{\ell})$ with a polynomial ring with ℓ variables. That is,

$$H^*(BT^\ell) = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$$

where $u_i \in H^2(BT^{\ell})$ is the first Chern class of the line bundle $ET^{\ell} \times_{T^{\ell}} \mathbb{C}_i$ over BT^{ℓ} . Here, \mathbb{C}_i is the one dimensional representation of T^{ℓ} through a map $T^{\ell} \to S^1$ given by $\operatorname{diag}(h_1, \cdots, h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_2, \cdots, h_{\ell}, \cdots, h_{\ell}) \mapsto h_i$.

It is known that $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and S_{λ} admit a cellular decomposition ([6]), so the odd degree cohomology groups of $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and S_{λ} vanish. The path-connectedness of $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and S_{λ} together with this fact implies that the maps ι_1 and ι_2 in (3.1) are injective (cf.[5]) and that the map ρ_{λ} in (3.1) is surjective (cf.[2]). The map π in (3.1) is clearly surjective. Therefore, we obtain the following lemma. Let \bar{y}_i be the image $\rho_{\lambda}(\bar{x}_i)$ of \bar{x}_i for each i.

Lemma 3.1. The T^{ℓ} -equivariant cohomology ring $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ is generated by $\bar{y}_1, \ldots, \bar{y}_n$, u_1, \ldots, u_{ℓ} as a ring where \bar{y}_i is as above and $H^*(BT^{\ell}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_{\ell}]$.

Let $\phi : [n] \to [\ell]$ $([n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ be a map defined by

$$(3.7) \qquad \qquad \phi(i) = k$$

if $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1 \le i \le \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k$ where $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{k-1} = 0$ when k = 1. Observe that the map π in (3.1) is the following mapping

(3.8)
$$\pi(f|_w(t_1,\ldots,t_n)) = f|_w(u_{\phi(1)},\ldots,u_{\phi(n)}),$$

where $f|_w$ denotes w-component of f. It follows from (3.6), (3.8) and the commutative diagram in (3.1) that

(3.9)
$$\iota_2(\bar{y}_i)|_w = u_{\phi(w(i))} \text{ and } \iota_2(u_i)|_w = u_i.$$

Third, we define the left action of $v \in S_n$ on the direct sum $\bigoplus_{w \in S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}} \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_{\ell}]$ of the polynomial ring as follows:

(3.10)
$$(v \cdot f)|_w = f|_{w'}$$

for $w \in S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ and $f \in \bigoplus_{w \in S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}} \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \ldots, u_{\ell}]$ where w' is the element of $S_{\lambda}^{T^{\ell}}$ whose right coset agrees with the right coset [wv] of $S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_{\ell}} \setminus S_n$. Note that the map π in (3.1) is not S_n -equivariant in general.

Lemma 3.2. For any $v \in S_n$ and $1 \le i \le n$, it follows that

(3.11)
$$v \cdot (\iota_2(\bar{y}_i)) = \iota_2(\bar{y}_{v(i)}) \text{ and } v \cdot (\iota_2(u_i)) = \iota_2(u_i)$$

where the map ι_2 is in (3.1) and \bar{y}_i is the image of \bar{x}_i under the map ρ_{λ} in (3.1).

Proof. From (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$|(v \cdot (\iota_2(u_i)))|_w = \iota_2(u_i)|_{w'} = u_i = \iota_2(u_i)|_w$$

for all $w \in S_n$. So the second equation holds. From (3.9) and (3.10) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (v \cdot (\iota_2(\bar{y}_i)))|_w &= \iota_2(\bar{y}_i)|_{w'} = u_{\phi(w'(i))}, \\ \iota_2(\bar{y}_{v(i)})|_w &= u_{\phi(w(v(i)))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove $\phi(w'(i)) = \phi(wv(i))$. Since [w'] = [wv] in $S_{\lambda_1} \times S_{\lambda_2} \times \cdots \times S_{\lambda_\ell} \setminus S_n$, we have

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{r-1} + 1 \le w'(i) \le \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r,$$

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{r-1} + 1 \le wv(i) \le \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r$$

for some r. From the definition (3.7) of the map ϕ , we have $\phi(w'(i)) = \phi(wv(i))$, and the first equation holds. We are done.

Since the map ι_2 is injective, we obtain an S_n -action on $H^*_{\mathcal{T}^\ell}(S_\lambda)$ satisfying

(3.12)
$$w \cdot \bar{y}_i = \bar{y}_{w(i)} \text{ and } w \cdot u_i = u_i$$

for $w \in S_n$ from Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Moreover, one can see that the map ρ_{λ} in (3.1) is S_n -equivariant homomorphism by (3.4) and (3.12). We summarize the results in this section as follows.

Proposition 3.3. There exists an S_n -action on $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ such that the map ρ_{λ} in (3.1) is S_n -equivariant homomorphism where the S_n -action on $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ is given by (3.4).

4. Main theorem

In this section, we state our main theorem. For this purpose, let us clarify our notations. We set $p_{\lambda}(s) := \lambda_{n-s+1} + \lambda_{n-s+2} + \cdots + \lambda_{\ell}$ for $s = 1, \cdots, n$. We denote by $\check{\lambda}$ the transpose of λ . That is, $\check{\lambda} = (\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_k)$ where $k = \lambda_1$ and $\eta_i = |\{j \mid \lambda_j \ge i\}|$ for $1 \le i \le k$. For indeterminates y_1, \cdots, y_s and a_1, a_2, \cdots , let

(4.1)
$$e_d(y_1, \cdots, y_s | a_1, a_2, \cdots) := \sum_{r=0}^d (-1)^{d-r} e_r(y_1, \cdots, y_s) h_{d-r}(a_1, \cdots, a_{s+1-d})$$

for $d \ge 0$ where e_i and h_i denote the *i*-th elementary symmetric polynomial and the *i*-th complete symmetric polynomial, respectively. In fact, this is the factorial Schur function corresponding to the Young diagram consisting of the unique column of length d as shown in the next section (see Lemma 5.1). We also define a map $\phi_{\lambda} : [n] \to [\ell]$ by the condition

$$(4.2) \quad (u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \cdots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)}) = (\underbrace{u_1, \cdots, u_1}_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \underbrace{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_1, u_2}_{2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}, \cdots, \underbrace{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\ell}_{\ell(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell+1})}, \underbrace{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_\ell}_{\ell(\lambda_\ell - \lambda_{\ell+1})})$$

as ordered sequences where for each $1 \leq r \leq \ell$ the *r*-th sector of the right-hand-side consists of (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_r) repeated $(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r+1})$ -times. Here, we denote $\lambda_{\ell+1} = 0$.

Let us define a ring homomorphism

(4.3)
$$\psi: \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell] \to H^*_{T^\ell}(\mathcal{S}_\lambda)$$

by sending y_i to \bar{y}_i and u_i to u_i where $H^*(BT^{\ell}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \cdots, u_{\ell}]$. Recall that \bar{y}_i is the equivariant first Chern class of the tautological line bundle E_i/E_{i-1} over $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (see Section 3) restricted to S_{λ} . This homomorphism ψ is a surjection by Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. The map ψ in (4.3) induces a ring isomorphism

$$H_{T^{\ell}}^{*}(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, u_{1}, \cdots, u_{\ell}]/I_{\lambda}$$

where \widetilde{I}_{λ} is the ideal of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell]$ generated by the polynomials $e_d(y_{i_1}, \cdots, y_{i_s} | u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \cdots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)})$ defined in (4.1) with ϕ_{λ} described in (4.2) for $1 \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n$, and $d \geq s + 1 - p_{\lambda}(s)$.

Remark. The ideal I_{λ} is the T^{ℓ} -equivariant analogue of so-called Tanisaki's ideal (it is written as $K_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ in [9]). Each generator of \tilde{I}_{λ} given above specializes to a generator of Tanisaki's ideal given in [9] after the evaluation $u_i = 0$ for all i.

5. Proof of the main theorem

In this section, we prove Theorem 4.1. Our argument is the T^{ℓ} -equivariant version of [9]. We first show that $e_d(\bar{y}_{i_1}, \dots, \bar{y}_{i_s}|u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \dots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)}) = 0$ in $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$, and $d \geq s + 1 - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s)$. By the S_n -action on $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ constructed in Section 3, we may assume that $i_1 = 1, \dots, i_s = s$.

Let us first consider the cases for s < n, and prove that for $d \ge s + 1 - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s)$ we have $e_d(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_s | u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \dots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)}) = 0$ in $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$. Take a T^n -invariant complete flag U_{\bullet} by refining the flag $(\dots \subset N_0^2 \mathbb{C}^n \subset N_0 \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$. This is possible since N_0 is in Jordan canonical form. We denote by \bar{w} the element of S_n corresponding to U_{\bullet} , i.e. $U_{\bullet} = \bar{w}F_{\bullet}$ where F_{\bullet} is the standard flag defined by $F_i = \langle e_1, \dots, e_i \rangle$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. For a Young

diagram μ with at most s rows and n-s columns, the Schubert variety corresponding to μ with respect to the reference flag U_{\bullet} is

$$X_{\mu}(U_{\bullet}) = \{ V \in Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n) \mid \dim(V \cap U_{n-s+i-\mu_i}) \ge i \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le s \}$$

where $Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the set of s dimensional complex linear subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n . It is known that $X_{\mu}(\tilde{F}_{\bullet}) \cap X_{\nu}(F_{\bullet}) = \emptyset$ unless $\mu \subset \nu^{\dagger}$ (cf. [1] § 9.4, Lemma 3). Here, $\nu^{\dagger} = (n - s - \nu_s, \dots, n - s - \nu_1)$ and \tilde{F}_{\bullet} is the opposite flag of F_{\bullet} defined by $\tilde{F}_i = \langle e_{n+1-i}, \dots, e_n \rangle$. By multiplying both sides of this equality by \bar{w} , we get

(5.1)
$$X_{\mu}(\bar{w}\bar{F}_{\bullet}) \cap X_{\nu}(U_{\bullet}) = \emptyset \quad \text{unless } \mu \subset \nu^{\dagger}.$$

Since the flag $\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet}$ is T^n -invariant, the Schubert variety $X_{\mu}(\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet})$ is a T^n -invariant irreducible subvariety of $Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Let $\tilde{S}_{\mu} := [X_{\mu}(\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet})] \in H^*_{T^n}(Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n))$ be the associated T^n -equivariant cohomology class.

Let $p: Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \to Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the projection defined by $p(V_{\bullet}) = V_s$. Then it follows that

$$p(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}) \subset X_{\mu_0}(U_{\bullet})$$

where $\mu_0 = (n - s, \dots, n - s, 0, \dots, 0)$ with n - s repeated $p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s)$ -times and 0 repeated $(s - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s))$ -times (cf. [9] § 3, Proposition 3). Hence, we obtain the following commutative diagram

(5.2)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{T^n}^*(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)) & \xleftarrow{p^*} & H_{T^n}^*(Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)) \\ & & & & \downarrow_{i^*} \\ & & & & \downarrow_{i^*} \\ & & & H_{T^\ell}^*(\mathbb{S}_{\lambda}) & \xleftarrow{k^*} & H_{T^n}^*(X_{\mu_0}(U_{\bullet})) \end{array}$$

where i^* is the map induced by the inclusion and k is the restriction of the projection map p. Let $\mu_{s,d} = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with 1 repeated d-times and 0 repeated (s - d)-times. This Young diagram has at most s rows and n - s columns since we are assuming that s < n. Recall that the T^n -equivariant Schubert class $\tilde{S}_{\mu} = [X_{\mu}(\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet})]$ comes from the relative cohomology $H^*_{T^n}(Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n), Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n) \setminus X_{\mu}(\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet}))$. So it follows that $i^*\tilde{S}_{\mu_{s,d}} = 0$ for $d \ge s + 1 - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s)$ since $\mu_{s,d} \not\subset \mu_0^{\dagger}$ and (5.1) show that any cycle in $X_{\mu_0}(U_{\bullet})$ does not intersect with $X_{\mu_{s,d}}(\bar{w}\tilde{F}_{\bullet})$. Thus, we obtain $\rho_{\lambda}(p^*\tilde{S}_{\mu_{s,d}}) = 0$ by the commutativity of the diagram (5.2).

To give a polynomial representative of $\rho_{\lambda}(p^*S_{\mu_{s,d}})$, let us first describe $p^*S_{\mu_{s,d}}$ in terms of $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n$ and t_1, \dots, t_n . Observe that $w \in S_n$ acts on \mathbb{C}^n from the left by

$$w \cdot (x_1, \cdots, x_n) = (x_{w^{-1}(1)}, \cdots, x_{w^{-1}(n)})$$

for $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, and this naturally induces S_n -action on $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$. For each $w \in S_n$, the induced map on $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is equivariant with respect to a group homomorphism $\psi_w : T^n \to T^n$ defined by $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mapsto (g_{w^{-1}(1)}, \dots, g_{w^{-1}(n)})$. This ψ_w induces a ring homomorphism on $H^*(BT^n) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$:

$$\psi_w^*: \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \cdots, t_n] \to \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \cdots, t_n] \quad ; \quad t_i \mapsto t_{w^{-1}(i)},$$

and the induced map w^* on $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ is a ring homomorphism satisfying $w^*(t_i\alpha) = \psi^*_w(t_i)w^*(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$ where the products are taken by the cup products via the canonical homomorphism $H^*(BT^n) \to H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$. Similarly, S_n acts on $Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ from the left, and the projection $p : Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \to Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is S_n -equivariant. Observe that $w^*\bar{x}_i = \bar{x}_i$ for any $w \in S_n$ since w naturally induces a map $E_i/E_{i-1} \to E_i/E_{i-1}$ which is a fiber-wise isomorphism.

Recall from [3] that the T^n -equivariant Schubert class $[X_{\mu}(F_{\bullet})] \in H^*_{T^n}(Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n))$ with respect to the standard reference flag F_{\bullet} is represented by the factorial Schur function (see [4]) in the T^n -equivariant cohomology of $Flags(\mathbb{C}^n)$:

$$p^*[X_{\mu}(F_{\bullet})] = s_{\mu}(-\bar{x}_1, \cdots, -\bar{x}_s | -t_n, \cdots, -t_1).$$

For the convenience of the reader, we here recall the definition of factorial Schur functions from [4]: for a Young diagram μ with at most s rows, the factorial Schur function associated to μ is defined to be

$$s_{\mu}(x_1,\cdots,x_s|a_1,a_2,\cdots) = \sum_T \prod_{\alpha\in\mu} (x_{T(\alpha)} - a_{T(\alpha)+c(\alpha)})$$

as a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_s] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[a_1, a_2, \dots]$ where T runs over all semistandard tableaux of shape μ with entries in $\{1, \dots, s\}$, $T(\alpha)$ is the entry of T in the cell $\alpha \in \mu$, and $c(\alpha) = j - i$ is the content of $\alpha = (i, j)$. This polynomial is symmetric in x-variables.

From the definition, we have that $X_{\mu}(\bar{w}F_{\bullet}) = \bar{w}w_0X_{\mu}(F_{\bullet})$ where $w_0 \in S_n$ is the longest element with respect to the Bruhat order. So it follows that

$$p^* \tilde{S}_{\mu} = p^* ((\bar{w}w_0)^{-1})^* [X_{\mu}(F_{\bullet})] = ((\bar{w}w_0)^{-1})^* p^* [X_{\mu}(F_{\bullet})]$$

= $s_{\mu}(-\bar{x}_1, \cdots, -\bar{x}_s| - t_{\bar{w}(1)}, \cdots, -t_{\bar{w}(n)})$

since the projection $p: Flags(\mathbb{C}^n) \to Gr_s(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is equivariant with respect to the left S_n -actions. In particular, the following lemma with the definition (4.1) shows that

(5.3)
$$p^* S_{\mu_{s,d}} = (-1)^d e_d(\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_s | t_{\bar{w}(1)}, \cdots, t_{\bar{w}(n)}).$$

Lemma 5.1. For indeterminates $x_1, \dots, x_s, a_1, a_2, \dots$, we have

$$s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x_1,\cdots,x_s|a_1,a_2,\cdots) = \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^{k-r} e_r(x_1,\cdots,x_s) h_{k-r}(a_1,\cdots,a_{s+1-k})$$

for $k \ge 0$ where $\mu_{s,k} = (1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with 1 repeated k-times and 0 repeated (s-k)-times.

Proof. We first find the coefficient of the monomial $x_1 \cdots x_r$ in $s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x|a)$. For each $I = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{k-r})$ satisfying $r+1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{k-r} \leq s$, there is a summand in $s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x|a)$ corresponding to the standard tableau T_I of shape $\mu_{s,k}$ whose (j, 1)-th entry is

$$\begin{cases} j & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq r, \\ i_{j-r} & \text{if } r+1 \leq j \leq k \end{cases}$$

The summand is of the form

 $(x_1 - a_1)(x_2 - a_1) \cdots (x_r - a_1)(x_{i_1} - a_{i_1 - r})(x_{i_2} - a_{i_2 - r - 1}) \cdots (x_{i_{k - r}} - a_{i_{k - r} - k + 1}),$

and the contribution of the monomial $x_1 \cdots x_r$ from this polynomial is

 $(-1)^{k-r}(a_{i_1-r}a_{i_2-r-1}\cdots a_{i_{k-r}-k+1})x_1\cdots x_r.$

Since the condition on ${\cal I}$ is equivalent to

$$1 \le i_1 - r \le i_2 - r - 1 \le \dots \le i_{k-r} - k + 1 \le s - k + 1,$$

we see that the coefficient of $x_1 \cdots x_r$ in $s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x_1, \cdots, x_s | a_1, a_2, \cdots)$ is

$$(-1)^{k-r}h_{k-r}(a_1,\cdots,a_{s-k+1}).$$

Recalling that $s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x_1, \cdots, x_s | a_1, a_2, \cdots)$ is symmetric in *x*-variables, we conclude that the coefficient of $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_r}$ is $(-1)^{k-r} h_{k-r}(a_1, \cdots, a_{s-k+1})$ for any $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_r \leq s$. Thus, the polynomial

$$(-1)^{k-r}e_r(x_1,\cdots,x_s)h_{k-r}(a_1,\cdots,a_{s-k+1})$$

gives the summand in $s_{\mu_{s,k}}(x_1, \cdots, x_s | a_1, a_2, \cdots)$ whose degree in x-variables is r. \Box

From now on, we take a specific choice of \bar{w} as follows, and we study the image of the Schubert classes $p^* \tilde{S}_{\mu}$ under ρ_{λ} . We choose \bar{w} so that its one-line notation is given by

$$\bar{w} = J_1 \cdots J_\ell$$

where each sector J_r is a sequence of subsectors

$$J_r = j_r^{(1)} \cdots j_r^{(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r+1})}$$

consisted by sequences of the form

$$j_r^{(m)} = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_r) + m , \ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_r) + \lambda_2 + m , \ \dots , \ (\lambda_1 - \lambda_r) + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_r + m.$$

Note that $j_r^{(m)}$ is a sequence of length r, and J_r is a sequence of length $r(\lambda_r - \lambda_{r+1})$. We define J_r to be the empty sequence if $\lambda_r = \lambda_{r+1}$. Writing down J_r for some small r, the reader can see how the complete flag $\bar{w}F_{\bullet}$ refines the flag $(\dots \subset N_0^2 \mathbb{C}^n \subset N_0 \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Example. If n = 16 and $\lambda = (7, 5, 2, 2)$, then $\bar{w} = 1\ 2\ 3\ 8\ 4\ 9\ 5\ 10\ 6\ 11\ 13\ 15\ 7\ 12\ 14\ 16$

where $J_1 = j_1^{(1)} j_1^{(2)} = 1$ 2, $J_2 = j_2^{(1)} j_2^{(2)} j_2^{(3)} = 3$ 8 4 9 5 10, J_3 is the empty sequence, and $J_4 = j_4^{(1)} j_4^{(2)} = 6$ 11 13 15 7 12 14 16. The reader should check that $\bar{w}F_{\bullet}$ refines the flag $(\dots \subset N_0^2 \mathbb{C}^n \subset N_0 \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n)$.

The map $\phi : [n] \to [\ell]$ defined in (3.7) takes each sequence $j_r^{(m)}$ to the sequence $1, \dots, r$ since k-th number of $j_r^{(m)}$ satisfies

$$\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{k-1} + 1 \le (\lambda_1 - \lambda_r) + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_k + m \le \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k.$$

This shows that $\phi \circ \overline{w}$ coincides with the map ϕ_{λ} defined in (4.2). Applying ρ_{λ} to (5.3), we obtain

$$\rho_{\lambda} \circ p^*(\tilde{S}_{\mu_{s,d}}) = (-1)^d e_d(\bar{y}_1, \cdots, \bar{y}_s | u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \cdots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)})$$

in $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$. Since $i^*(S_{\mu_{s,j}}) = 0$, the commutative diagram (5.2) shows that the left-handside of this equality vanishes. That is, we proved that $e_d(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_s | u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \dots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)}) = 0$ for the cases s < n.

We are left with the case s = n. In this case, we have that $d \ge n + 1 - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(n) = 1$. Observe that in $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$ we have

$$e_d(\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_n | t_1, \cdots, t_n)$$

= $\sum_{r=0}^d (-1)^{d-r} e_r(\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_n) h_{d-r}(t_1, \cdots, t_{n+1-d})$
= $\sum_{r=0}^d (-1)^{d-r} e_r(t_1, \cdots, t_n) h_{d-r}(t_1, \cdots, t_{n+1-d})$

by the presentation given in (3.3). It is straightforward to check that this is equal to $e_d(t_{n+2-d}, \dots, t_n)$ (which is zero since the number of variables is greater than d) by considering the generating functions with a formal variable z for elementary and complete symmetric polynomials :

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - t_i z) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r e_r(t_1, \cdots, t_n) z^r$$
$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 - t_i z} = \sum_{r \ge 0} h_r(t_1, \cdots, t_n) z^r.$$

That is, the polynomial $e_d(\bar{x}_1, \cdots, \bar{x}_n | t_1, \cdots, t_n)$ vanishes in $H^*_{T^n}(Flags(\mathbb{C}^n))$, and hence we see that $e_d(\bar{y}_1, \cdots, \bar{y}_n | u_{\phi_{\lambda}(1)}, \cdots, u_{\phi_{\lambda}(n)}) = 0.$

Now, the homomorphism (4.3) induces a surjective ring homomorphism

$$\bar{\psi}: \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots u_\ell] / I_\lambda \longrightarrow H^*_{T^\ell}(\mathcal{S}_\lambda).$$

In what follows, we prove that this is an isomorphism by thinking of both sides as $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \cdots u_\ell]$ -algebras. Namely, the ring on the left-hand-side admits the obvious multiplication by u_1, \cdots, u_n , and the ring on the right-hand-side has the canonical ring homomorphism $H^*(BT^{\ell}) \to H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ with the identification $H^*(BT^{\ell}) = \mathbb{Z}[u_1, \cdots u_{\ell}]$.

Recall that S_{λ} admits a cellular decomposition by even dimensional cells constructed by [6] (c.f. [2]). So the spectral sequence for the fiber bundle $ET^{\ell} \times_{T^{\ell}} S_{\lambda} \to BT^{\ell}$ shows that $H^*_{T^{\ell}}(S_{\lambda})$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \cdots, u_{\ell}]$ -module and that its rank over $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \cdots, u_{\ell}]$ coincides with the rank of the non-equivariant cohomology:

$$\operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}[u_1,\cdots,u_\ell]}H^*_{T^\ell}(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}}H^*(\mathcal{S}_{\lambda}) = \frac{n!}{\lambda_1!\lambda_2!\cdots\lambda_\ell!} =: \binom{n}{\lambda}.$$

Hence, to prove that the map $\bar{\psi}$ is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to show that the module $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ is generated by $\binom{n}{\lambda}$ elements as a $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ -module. To do that, let us consider a graded ring¹ $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/I_{\lambda}$ where I_{λ} is Tanisaki's ideal, namely this is generated by $e_d(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_s})$ for $1 \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$, and $d \geq s + 1 - p_{\tilde{\lambda}}(s)$. In [9], it is shown that this is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank $\binom{n}{\lambda}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$ be homogeneous polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]$ which give an additive basis of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/I_{\lambda}$ where $k = \binom{n}{\lambda}$. If we think of $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$ as elements of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$, then they generate $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ as a $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ -module.

Proof. It suffices to show that any monomial m of y_1, \dots, y_n in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_\lambda$ can be written as a $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ -linear combination of $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$. We prove this by induction on the degree d of m. The base case d = 0 is clear, i.e. $\Phi_i(y) = 1$ for some i. We assume that $d \ge 1$ and the claim holds for d-1. Let θ be a homomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_\lambda$ to $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n]/I_\lambda$ sending y_i to y_i and u_i to 0. This is well-defined since each generator $e_d(\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_s | u_{\phi_\lambda(1)}, \dots, u_{\phi_\lambda(n)})$ of \tilde{I}_λ is mapped to the corresponding generator $e_d(y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_s})$ of I_λ . By the assumption, $\theta(m)$ can be written as a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination of $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$, that is, we have

$$m - \sum_{i} a_i \Phi_i(y) \in \ker \theta$$

for some $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here, ker θ is the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ generated by u_1, \cdots, u_ℓ . In fact, it follows that the image of I_{λ} in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ is included in the ideal (u_1, \cdots, u_ℓ) of $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$ from the following equation in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_n, u_1, \cdots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$:

$$e_d(y_{i_1}, \cdots, y_{i_s}) = -\sum_{0 \le r < d} (-1)^{d-r} e_r(y_{i_1}, \cdots, y_{i_s}) h_{d-r}(u_{\phi_\lambda(1)}, \cdots, u_{\phi_\lambda(s+1-d)}).$$

Therefore, the monomial m can be written as

(5.4)
$$m = \sum_{i} a_i \Phi_i(y) + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} f_j(y, u) u_j$$

for some polynomials $f_1(y, u), \dots, f_\ell(y, u)$. Since *m* has degree *d*, we can replace the polynomials in the right-hand-side by their homogeneous components of degree *d*. Namely, we can assume that deg $\Phi_i(y) = \deg f_j(y, u) + 1 = d$. Now, the induction assumption shows that each $f_j(y, u)$ is written as a $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ -linear combination of $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$ since the degree of each monomial in *y* contained in $f_j(y, u)$ is less than *d*. Hence, the element *m* is written by a $\mathbb{Z}[u_1, \dots, u_\ell]$ -linear combination of $\Phi_1(y), \dots, \Phi_k(y)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_n, u_1, \dots, u_\ell]/\tilde{I}_{\lambda}$, as desired.

From Lemma 5.2, the surjection $\bar{\psi}$ has to be an isomorphism as discussed above.

¹The argument in [9] to give a presentation of the ring $H^*(S_{\lambda};\mathbb{C})$ works also over \mathbb{Z} -coefficient, and in that sense this ring is the presentation given in [9].

HIRAKU ABE AND TATSUYA HORIGUCHI

References

- W. Fulton, Young Tableaux: With Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry, London Math. Soc. Student Texts 35, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1997.
- [2] R. Hotta and T.A. Springer, A specialization theorem for certain Weyl group representations and an application to Green polynomials of unitary groups, Invent. Math. 41 (1977), 113-127.
- [3] A. Knutson and T. Tao, Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians, Duke Math. J. 119 (2) (2003), 221-260.
- [4] A. I. Molev and B. E. Sagan, A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 4429-4443.
- [5] M. Mimura and H. Toda Topology of Lie Groups. I, II. Translated from the 1978 Japanese edition by the authors. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 91. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [6] N. Spaltenstein, The fixed point set of a unipotent transformation on the flag manifold, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 79 (1976), 452-456.
- [7] T. A. Springer, Trigonometric sums, Green functions of finite groups and representations of Weyl groups, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 173-207.
- [8] T. A. Springer, A construction of representations of Weyl groups, Invent. Math. 44 (1978) 279-293.
- [9] T. Tanisaki, Defining ideals of the closures of the conjugacy classes and representations of the Weyl groups, Tôhoku Math. J. 34 (1982), 575-585.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585 JAPAN

E-mail address: hirakuabe@globe.ocn.ne.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138 SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: d13saR0z06@st.osaka-cu.ac.jp