

ON THE LOCATION OF TWO BLOW UP POINTS ON AN ANNULUS FOR THE MEAN FIELD EQUATION

メタデータ	言語: English 出版者: OCAMI 公開日: 2019-09-18 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: Grossi, Massimo, 高橋, 太 メールアドレス: 所属: Universita di Roma "La Sapienza", Osaka City University
URL	https://ocu-omu.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2016804

ON THE LOCATION OF TWO BLOW UP POINTS ON AN ANNULUS FOR THE MEAN FIELD EQUATION

MASSIMO GROSSI AND FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI

Citation	OCAMI Preprint Series
Issue Date	2013
Type	Preprint
Textversion	Author
Rights	For personal use only. No other uses without permission.
Relation	The following article has been submitted to Comptes Rendus Mathematique. After it is published, it will be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2014.04.006 .

From: Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute

<http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/OCAMI/publication/preprint/preprint.html>

ON THE LOCATION OF TWO BLOW UP POINTS ON AN ANNULUS FOR THE MEAN FIELD EQUATION

MASSIMO GROSSI AND FUTOSHI TAKAHASHI

ABSTRACT. We consider the mean field equation on two-dimensional annular domains, and prove that if P_1 and P_2 are two blow up points of a blowing-up solution sequence of the equation, then we must have $P_1 = -P_2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \frac{e^u}{\int_{\Omega} e^u dx} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is a smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^2 and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. The equation (1.1) is known as the mean field equation and is considered to have relations with various fields of mathematical physics, such as Onsager's vortex theories, Chern-Simons-Higgs gauge theory, and so on. The interested readers should refer the books by Tarantello [15], Yang [16], and the references therein. The possible blowing-up or non-compactness for a solution sequence of the problem have attracted many authors for more than two decades, and many efforts have been devoted to study such a critical phenomena.

Now, thanks to the works by [14], [3] and [13], we have the following description of the blowing-up solution sequences: Let u_n be a sequence of solutions to (1.1) for $\lambda = \lambda_n$ such that $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ is not bounded from above while $\lambda_n = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence λ_n and a set $\mathcal{S} = \{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$ with $a_i \in \Omega$, such that $\lambda_n \rightarrow 8\pi l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\lambda_n \frac{e^{u_n}}{\int_{\Omega} e^{u_n} dx} \rightharpoonup 8\pi \sum_{i=1}^l \delta_{a_i}$$

in the sense of measures. Moreover, each $a_i \in \mathcal{S}$ must satisfy the condition

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla R(a_i) - \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^l \nabla_x G(a_i, a_j) = \vec{0}, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, l) \quad (1.2)$$

Date: January 6, 2014.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J08, Secondary 35J25, 35J60.

where $G = G(x, y)$ is the Green function with pole $y \in \Omega$ subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$-\Delta_x G(x, y) = 2\pi\delta_y \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad G(x, y)\Big|_{x \in \partial\Omega} = 0,$$

and R is the Robin function defined as

$$R(y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow y} (\log|x - y|^{-1} - G(x, y)).$$

Therefore, the relation (1.2) can be considered as a characterization of the location of blow up points for (1.1).

On the other hand, several existence results of l -points blowing-up solutions to (1.1) have been found by several authors, see [8], [7]. Their results can be summarized as follows:

Let $l \geq 1$ be an integer and set

$$\Delta = \{(x_1, \dots, x_l) \in \Omega^l \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for some } i, j \in \{1, \dots, l\}\},$$

where $\Omega^l \subset \mathbb{R}^{2l}$ denotes an l -time products of Ω . Define $\mathcal{F} : \Omega^l \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l) = \sum_{i=1}^l R(\xi_i) - \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq l}} G(\xi_i, \xi_j),$$

here, we agree that $\mathcal{F}(\xi) = R(\xi)$ for $\xi \in \Omega$ when $l = 1$. Note that the condition $\nabla_{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l)} \mathcal{F}(a_1, \dots, a_l) = 0$ is equivalent to (1.2) for $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \Omega^l$. By these notations, let $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in \Omega^l \setminus \Delta$ be a ‘‘stable’’ critical point [8], or a ‘‘nontrivial’’ critical point [7] of \mathcal{F} , that is, (a_1, \dots, a_l) satisfies (1.2) and some additional ‘‘stability’’ or ‘‘nontriviality’’ condition is satisfied. Then there exists a sequence of solutions blowing up exactly at $\mathcal{S} = \{a_1, \dots, a_l\}$. In particular, if the domain is not simply-connected, there always exists a sequence of blowing-up solution which blows up at l points on the domain for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Contrary to the above, we do not have any blowing-up solution sequence with multiple ($l \geq 2$) blow up points, if the domain is convex. This nonexistence of multiple blow up points holds true for several nonlinear problems other than (1.1), see [9]. The relationship between the location of blow up points and the geometry of the domain seems to be an interesting subject.

In this note, we turn to the study of the location of blow up points for the mean field equation (1.1). We concentrate to the case when Ω is an annulus. In this case, C. C. Chen and C. S. Lin [5] showed the following:

Theorem 1.1. ([5] *Theorem 1.4.*) *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a solution sequence to (1.1) for $\lambda = \lambda_n$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 16\pi$ such that u_n blows up at two points P_1 and P_2 on the annulus, Let $P_{1,n}$ and $P_{2,n}$ be the two local maximum points near P_1 and P_2 respectively, then $P_{1,n}$, $P_{2,n}$ and the origin form a straight line l_n and u_n is symmetric with respect to the line l_n for n large. Consequently, P_1, P_2 and the origin are located on a same line.*

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is done by the method of rotating planes, which is applicable to other kinds of nonlinear elliptic equations, see for example [12]. An analogous result for problems involving the critical Sobolev exponent was obtained in [4].

Theorem 1.1 leaves open the question of whether the blow up points P_1 and P_2 are anti-symmetric, i.e.

$$P_1 = -P_2. \quad (1.3)$$

In this note, by using the characterization of blow up points (1.2) and the explicit form of the Green function on an annulus derived by D. M. Hickey [10], [11], we show (1.3).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of solutions to (1.1) for $\lambda = \lambda_n$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow 16\pi$ such that u_n blows up at two points P_1 and P_2 on the annulus, Then we have $P_1 = -P_2$.*

Next we compute the value of $|P_1| = |P_2|$.

Theorem 1.3. *Define $r_0 = |P_1| = |P_2|$ where $P_1, P_2 \in A = \{a < |x| < b\}$ are two blow up points. Then r_0 is the unique solution of the equation*

$$2 \frac{\log(r/b)}{\log(a/b)} - \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (r^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} r^{-2m}) ((-1)^m + 1) \quad (1.4)$$

for $r \in (a, b)$.

The explicit form of the Dirichlet Green function on a two dimensional annulus can be seen in several literatures, see for example, [6], [1], [2]. Most of them use the Weierstrass doubly periodic functions. We find that the Fourier expansion of the Green function is convenient to our analysis. Since the derivation in [10] is easy and seems less known, we prove the formula in Appendix for the sake of completeness.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2.

Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a < |x| < b\}$ be a two-dimensional annulus. Then the Green function on A is explicitly written as follows.

Proposition 2.1. *(Hickey's formula [10]) Let $G_A = G_A(x, y)$ be the Green function on A with pole $y \in A$:*

$$-\Delta_x G_A(x, y) = 2\pi\delta_y \quad \text{in } A, \quad G_A(x, y) \Big|_{x \in \partial A} = 0.$$

Then we have

$$G_A(x, y) = -\log|x - y| + A_0(y) + B_0(y) \log|x| - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} (A_m(y)|x|^m + B_m(y)|x|^{-m}) \cos m(\theta - \theta_y), \quad (2.1)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) = (|x| \cos \theta, |x| \sin \theta)$, $y = (|y| \cos \theta_y, |y| \sin \theta_y)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A_0(y) &= \log b \frac{\log(a/|y|)}{\log(a/b)}, & B_0(y) &= \frac{\log(|y|/b)}{\log(a/b)}, \\ A_m(y) &= \frac{|y|^m - \left(\frac{a^2}{|y|}\right)^m}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}}, & B_m(y) &= \frac{a^{2m} \left(\left(\frac{b^2}{|y|}\right)^m - |y|^m\right)}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

As a corollary, we have

Corollary 2.2. *The Robin function on the annulus $A = \{a < |x| < b\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} R_A(y) &:= \lim_{x \rightarrow y} (-\log |x - y| - G_A(x, y)) \\ &= -\frac{(\log |y| - \log b)^2}{\log(a/b)} - \log b \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|y|^{2m} - 2a^{2m} + (ab)^{2m} |y|^{-2m}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Note that R_A is a radial function on A , as it was stated in [5] (Lemma 3.3).

Also using the fact

$$\nabla_x = \frac{x}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{x^\perp}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

where $r = |x|$, $x^\perp = (-x_2, x_1)$ for $x = (x_1, x_2)$, we obtain the formula for the gradients of G_A and R_A as follows:

Corollary 2.3. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_x G_A(x, y) &= -\frac{(x - y)}{|x - y|^2} + B_0(y) \frac{x}{|x|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{x}{|x|} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m(y) |x|^{m-1} - B_m(y) |x|^{-m-1}) \cos m(\theta - \theta_y) \\ &\quad + \frac{x^\perp}{|x|^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m(y) |x|^m + B_m(y) |x|^{-m}) \sin m(\theta - \theta_y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \nabla R_A(y) &= -\frac{\log(|y|/b)}{\log(a/b)} \frac{y}{|y|^2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|y|^{2m-1} - (ab)^{2m} |y|^{-2m-1}) \frac{y}{|y|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Now, we prove Theorem 1.2 by direct calculations.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $P_1, P_2 \in A$, $P_1 \neq P_2$ be two blow up points for a blowing-up solution sequence $\{u_n\}$ to (1.1). Since Theorem 1.1 holds,

the only thing we have to prove Theorem 1.2 is that $|P_1| = |P_2|$. For that purpose, we will exploit the characterization of blow up points (1.2). In this case, it reads that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\nabla R_A(P_1) = \nabla_x G_A(P_1, P_2), \\ \frac{1}{2}\nabla R_A(P_2) = \nabla_x G_A(P_2, P_1), \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

which implies

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\nabla R_A(P_1) \cdot P_1 = \nabla_x G_A(P_1, P_2) \cdot P_1, \\ \frac{1}{2}\nabla R_A(P_2) \cdot P_2 = \nabla_x G_A(P_2, P_1) \cdot P_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

By using the formulae (2.4), (2.5), we can write the equations (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} & -B_0(P_1) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-2m}) \\ &= -\frac{(P_1 - P_2) \cdot P_1}{|P_1 - P_2|^2} + B_0(P_2) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m(P_2) |P_1|^m - B_m(P_2) |P_1|^{-m}) \cos m(\theta_{P_1} - \theta_{P_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & -B_0(P_2) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_2|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_2|^{-2m}) \\ &= -\frac{(P_2 - P_1) \cdot P_2}{|P_2 - P_1|^2} + B_0(P_1) - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (A_m(P_1) |P_2|^m - B_m(P_1) |P_2|^{-m}) \cos m(\theta_{P_1} - \theta_{P_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $P_1 = (|P_1| \cos \theta_{P_1}, |P_1| \sin \theta_{P_1})$, $P_2 = (|P_2| \cos \theta_{P_2}, |P_2| \sin \theta_{P_2})$ in polar coordinates. Inserting (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_m(P_2) |P_1|^m - B_m(P_2) |P_1|^{-m} &= \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \times \\ & \{ |P_1|^m |P_2|^m - a^{2m} |P_1|^m |P_2|^{-m} + a^{2m} |P_1|^{-m} |P_2|^m - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-m} |P_2|^{-m} \}, \\ A_m(P_1) |P_2|^m - B_m(P_1) |P_2|^{-m} &= \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \times \\ & \{ |P_1|^m |P_2|^m - a^{2m} |P_1|^{-m} |P_2|^m + a^{2m} |P_1|^m |P_2|^{-m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-m} |P_2|^{-m} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, subtracting (2.9) from (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-2m} - |P_2|^{2m} + (ab)^{2m} |P_2|^{-2m}) \\ &= \frac{|P_2|^2 - |P_1|^2}{|P_1 - P_2|^2} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{2a^{2m}}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{-m} |P_2|^m - |P_1|^m |P_2|^{-m}) \cos m(\theta_{P_1} - \theta_{P_2}). \end{aligned}$$

From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|P_2|^2 - |P_1|^2}{|P_2 - P_1|^2} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{|P_1|^{2m} - |P_2|^{2m}}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(ab)^{2m}}{|P_1|^{2m}|P_2|^{2m}} - \frac{2a^{2m}}{|P_1|^m|P_2|^m} \cos m(\theta_{P_1} - \theta_{P_2}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Concerning the RHS of (2.10), we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ 1 + \frac{(ab)^{2m}}{|P_1|^{2m}|P_2|^{2m}} - \frac{2a^{2m}}{|P_1|^m|P_2|^m} \cos m(\theta_{P_1} - \theta_{P_2}) \right\} \\ & \geq 1 + \frac{(ab)^{2m}}{|P_1|^{2m}|P_2|^{2m}} - \frac{2a^m b^m}{|P_1|^m|P_2|^m} = \left(1 - \frac{(ab)^m}{|P_1|^m|P_2|^m} \right)^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $a < b$. Thus, if $|P_1| > |P_2|$, LHS of (2.10) < 0 while RHS of (2.10) ≥ 0 , which is a contradiction. The case of $|P_1| < |P_2|$ leads to the same contradiction. This implies that $|P_1| = |P_2|$ must hold, which ends the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Now we compute the value of $|P_1| = |P_2|$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By inserting $P_2 = -P_1$ into the first equation of (2.6):

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla R_A(P_1) = \nabla_x G_A(P_1, P_2),$$

and using (2.4), (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{\log(|P_1|/b)}{\log(a/b)} \frac{P_1}{|P_1|^2} + \frac{P_1}{|P_1|^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-2m}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{P_1}{|P_1|^2} + \frac{\log(|P_1|/b)}{\log(a/b)} \frac{P_1}{|P_1|^2} \\ & - \frac{P_1}{|P_1|^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-2m}), \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies

$$2 \frac{\log(|P_1|/b)}{\log(a/b)} - \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (|P_1|^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} |P_1|^{-2m}) \{(-1)^m + 1\} \quad (2.11)$$

since $P_1 \neq 0$. Let $f(r) = 2 \frac{\log(r/b)}{\log(a/b)} - \frac{1}{2}$ for $a < r < b$. f is a monotonically decreasing function with $f(a+0) = \frac{3}{2}$, $f(b-0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, and having a unique zero at $r = b^{3/4} a^{1/4}$. Also define

$$g(r) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (r^{2m} - (ab)^{2m} r^{-2m}) \{(-1)^m + 1\}.$$

Since $(-1)^m + 1 \geq 0$ for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we see g is monotonically increasing with respect to r and

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r \downarrow a} g(r) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (a^{2m} - b^{2m}) \{(-1)^m + 1\} = -\infty, \\ \lim_{r \uparrow b} g(r) &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} (b^{2m} - a^{2m}) \{(-1)^m + 1\} = +\infty,\end{aligned}$$

with having unique zero $r = \sqrt{ab}$. Thus we have the unique $r_0, \sqrt{ab} < r_0 < b^{3/4}a^{1/4}$ such that $f(r_0) = g(r_0)$ by the Intermediate Value Theorem for continuous functions. \square

Remark 2.4. By the proof of the last theorem it follows that $\sqrt{ab} < r_0 < b^{3/4}a^{1/4}$.

Remark 2.5. It is interesting to know what will happen when the number of blow up points is three or more: Up to now, we do not obtain the possible conclusion $|P_1| = |P_2| = |P_3|$ from the identities

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \nabla R_A(P_1) = \nabla_x G_A(P_1, P_2) + \nabla_x G_A(P_1, P_3), \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla R_A(P_2) = \nabla_x G_A(P_2, P_1) + \nabla_x G_A(P_2, P_3), \\ \frac{1}{2} \nabla R_A(P_3) = \nabla_x G_A(P_3, P_1) + \nabla_x G_A(P_3, P_2). \end{cases}$$

We conjecture that if we have m -blow up points on the two-dimensional annulus, then they must be located on the vertices of regular m -polygon. The verification of this seems difficult.

3. APPENDIX. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2.1

In this Appendix, we prove Proposition 2.1. Let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid a < |x| < b\}$ be an annulus in \mathbb{R}^2 as before and set

$$G_A(x, y) = -\log |x - y| + u(x, y),$$

where $u(x, y)$ is harmonic with respect to $x \in A$ and coincides with $\log |x - y|$ when $x \in \partial A$. We use the polar coordinate for $x, y \in \bar{A}$ and write $x = (|x| \cos \theta, |x| \sin \theta)$, $y = (|y| \cos \theta_y, |y| \sin \theta_y)$. Take $u(x, y)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned}u(x, y) &= a_0(y) + b_0(y) \log |x| \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (a_m(y) |x|^m + b_m(y) |x|^{-m}) \cos m\theta \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (c_m(y) |x|^m + d_m(y) |x|^{-m}) \sin m\theta\end{aligned}$$

which is harmonic in x . Recalling the expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \log |x - y| \Big|_{|x|=b} &= \log b - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{|y|}{b} \right)^m \cos m(\theta - \theta_y) \\ &= \log b - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{|y|}{b} \right)^m \cos m\theta_y \cos m\theta - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{|y|}{b} \right)^m \sin m\theta_y \sin m\theta, \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in A$, $x = (b \cos \theta, b \sin \theta)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \log |x - y| \Big|_{|x|=a} &= \log |y| - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^m \cos m(\theta - \theta_y) \\ &= \log |y| - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^m \cos m\theta_y \cos m\theta - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^m \sin m\theta_y \sin m\theta \end{aligned}$$

for $y \in A$, $x = (a \cos \theta, a \sin \theta)$. Thus the conditions

$$u(x, y) = \log |x - y| \quad \text{for } |x| = b \text{ and } |x| = a$$

reduces to that

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(y) + b_0(y) \log b &= \log b, \\ a_m(y)b^m + b_m(y)b^{-m} &= -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{|y|}{b} \right)^m \cos m\theta_y, \\ c_m(y)b^m + d_m(y)b^{-m} &= -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{|y|}{b} \right)^m \sin m\theta_y, \\ a_0(y) + b_0(y) \log a &= \log |y|, \\ a_m(y)a^m + b_m(y)a^{-m} &= -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^m \cos m\theta_y, \\ c_m(y)a^m + d_m(y)a^{-m} &= -\frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{a}{|y|} \right)^m \sin m\theta_y, \end{aligned}$$

which in turn implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_0(y) &= A_0(y) = \log b \frac{\log \frac{a}{|y|}}{\log \frac{a}{b}}, \\
 b_0(y) &= B_0(y) = \frac{\log \frac{b}{|y|}}{\log \frac{b}{a}}, \\
 a_m(y) &= -\frac{1}{m} A_m(y) \cos m\theta_y = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{|y|^m - \left(\frac{a^2}{|y|}\right)^m}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \cos m\theta_y, \\
 b_m(y) &= -\frac{1}{m} B_m(y) \cos m\theta_y = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{a^{2m} \left(\left(\frac{b^2}{|y|}\right)^m - |y|^m\right)}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \cos m\theta_y, \\
 c_m(y) &= -\frac{1}{m} A_m(y) \sin m\theta_y = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{|y|^m - \left(\frac{a^2}{|y|}\right)^m}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \sin m\theta_y, \\
 d_m(y) &= -\frac{1}{m} B_m(y) \sin m\theta_y = -\frac{1}{m} \frac{a^{2m} \left(\left(\frac{b^2}{|y|}\right)^m - |y|^m\right)}{b^{2m} - a^{2m}} \sin m\theta_y.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Green function on $A = \{a < |x| < b\}$ is written in the form (2.1).
 \square

Acknowledgement. Part of this work was done when the second author (F.T) was visiting Università di Roma in April, 2010. He thanks Dipartimento di Matematica for its support and hospitality. F.T was also supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B), No. 23340038, and JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research, No. 24654043.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akhiezer, *Elements of the Theory of Elliptic Equations*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 79. AMS Providence, RI (1990) MR1054205 (91k:33016)
2. Ü. Aksoy, and A. O. Celebi, *Dirichlet problem for a generalized inhomogeneous polyharmonic equation in an annular domain*, Complex Var. and Elliptic Equations **57** (2012) 229-241. MR2886739
3. H. Brezis, and F. Merle, *Uniform estimates and blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimensions*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **16** (1991) 1223-1253. MR1132783 (92m:35084)
4. D. Castorina, F. Pacella, *Symmetry of positive solutions of an almost-critical problem in an annulus*, Calc. Var. and Partial Differential Equations, **23** (2005) 125-138. MR2138079 (2006a:35109)
5. C. C. Chen. and C. S. Lin, *On the symmetry of blowup solutions to a mean field equation*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré **18** (2001) 271-296. MR1831657 (2002d:35064)
6. R. Courant, and D. Hilbert, *Methods of Mathematical Physics, Vol. I*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y., (1953) MR0065391 (16,426a)
7. M. Del Pino, M. Kowalczyk, and M. Musso, *Singular limits in Liouville-type equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **24** (2005) 47-81. MR2157850 (2006h:35089)
8. P. Esposito, M. Grossi and A. Pistoia, *On the existence of blowing-up solutions for a mean field equation*, Ann. I. H. Poincaré **22** (2005) 227-257. MR2124164 (2005k:35112)

9. M. Grossi, and F. Takahashi: *Nonexistence of multi-bubble solutions to some elliptic equations on convex domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010) 904-917. MR2652176 (2011e:35100)
10. D. M. Hickey: *The equilibrium point of Green's function for an annular region*, Ann. Math. (2) **30** (1928/29) no.1-4, 373-383. MR1502889
11. D. M. Hickey: *A note on the equilibrium point of the Green's function for an annulus*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc **41** (1935), no.6, 389-393. MR1563100
12. C. S. Lin, and I. Takagi: *Methods of rotating planes applied to a singularly perturbed Neumann problem*, Calc. Var. and Partial Differential equations. **13** (2001) 519-536. MR1867940 (2002k:35116)
13. L. Ma, and J. Wei, *Convergence for a Liouville equation*, Comment. Math. Helv. **76** (2001) 506-514. MR1854696 (2002h:35097)
14. K. Nagasaki, and T. Suzuki, *Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities*, Asymptotic Anal. **3** (1990) 173-188. MR1061665 (91f:35053)
15. G. Tarantello: *Selfdual Gauge Field Vortices: An Analytical Approach*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications **72**, Birkhäuser, Boston (2008) MR2403845 (2009k:58028)
16. Y. Yang: *Solitons in Field Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York (2001) MR1838682 (2002m:58001)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA "LA SAPIENZA", P.LE A. MORO 2 - 00185 ROMA

E-mail address: `massimo.grossi@uniroma1.it`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY & OCAMI, SUMIYOSHI-KU, OSAKA, 558-8585, JAPAN

E-mail address: `futoshi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp`