

# ON EXTENDIBILITY OF A MAP INDUCED BY BERS ISOMORPHISM

メタデータ	言語: English 出版者: OCAMI 公開日: 2019-10-04 キーワード (Ja): キーワード (En): 作成者: 宮地, 秀樹, 能城, 敏博 メールアドレス: 所属: Osaka University, Osaka City University
URL	<a href="https://ocu-omu.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2016781">https://ocu-omu.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2016781</a>

# ON EXTENDIBILITY OF A MAP INDUCED BY BERS ISOMORPHISM

HIDEKI MIYACHI AND TOSHIHIRO NOGI

<b>Citation</b>	OCAMI Preprint Series
<b>Issue Date</b>	2012
<b>Type</b>	Preprint
<b>Textversion</b>	Author
<b>Relation</b>	<p>The following article has been submitted to Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society.</p> <p>This is not the published version. Please cite only the published version. The article has been published in final form at</p> <p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12140-X">https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12140-X</a> .</p>
<b>Is version of</b>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12140-X">https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-12140-X</a> .</p>

From: Osaka City University Advanced Mathematical Institute

<http://www.sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp/OCAMI/publication/preprint/preprint.html>

# ON EXTENDIBILITY OF A MAP INDUCED BY BERS ISOMORPHISM

HIDEKI MIYACHI AND TOSHIHIRO NOGI

ABSTRACT. Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g(\geq 2)$  and set  $\hat{S} = S \setminus \{\hat{z}_0\}$ . Then we have the composed map  $\varphi \circ r$  of a map  $r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$  and the Bers isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\hat{S})$ , where  $F(S)$  is the Bers fiber space of  $S$ ,  $T(X)$  is the Teichmüller space of  $X$  and  $U$  is the upper half-plane.

The purpose of this paper is to show the map  $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\hat{S})$  has a continuous extension to some subset of the boundary  $T(S) \times \partial U$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

**1.1. Teichmüller space.** Let  $S$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g(\geq 2)$ . Consider any pair  $(R, f)$  of a closed Riemann surface  $R$  of genus  $g$  and a quasiconformal map  $f : S \rightarrow R$ . Two pairs  $(R_1, f_1)$  and  $(R_2, f_2)$  are said to be *equivalent* if  $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1} : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$  is homotopic to a biholomorphic map  $h : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ . Let  $[R, f]$  be the equivalence class of such a pair  $(R, f)$ . We set

$$T(S) = \{[R, f] \mid f : S \rightarrow R : \text{quasiconformal}\}$$

and call  $T(S)$  the *Teichmüller space* of  $S$ .

For any  $p_1 = [R_1, f_1], p_2 = [R_2, f_2] \in T(S)$ , the *Teichmüller distance* is defined to be

$$d_T(p_1, p_2) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_g \log K(g)$$

where  $g$  runs over all quasiconformal maps from  $R_1$  to  $R_2$  homotopic to  $f_2 \circ f_1^{-1}$  and  $K(g)$  means the maximal dilatation of  $g$ . The Teichmüller space is topologized with the Teichmüller distance.

It is known that  $S$  can be represented as  $U/G$  where  $U$  is the upper half-plane and  $G$  is a torsion free Fuchsian group. Let  $L_\infty(U, G)_1$  be the space of measurable functions  $\mu$  on  $U$  satisfying

- (1)  $\|\mu\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in U} |\mu(z)| < 1$ ,
- (2)  $(\mu \circ g) \frac{g'}{g}$  for all  $g \in G$ .

For any  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ , there is a unique quasiconformal map  $w$  of  $U$  onto  $U$  satisfying normalization conditions  $w(0) = 0, w(1) = 1$  and  $w(\infty) = \infty$ . Let  $Q(G)$  be the set of all normalized quasiconformal map  $w$  such that  $wGw^{-1}$  is also

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F60, 32G15, 20F67.

The first author is partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 21540177.

The second author is partially supported by the JSPS Institutional Program for Young Research Overseas Visits "Promoting international young researchers in mathematics and mathematical sciences led by OCAMI".

Fuchsian. We write  $w = w_\mu$ . Two maps  $w_1, w_2 \in Q(G)$  are said to be *equivalent* if  $w_1 = w_2$  on the real axis  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $[w]$  be the equivalence class of  $w \in Q(G)$ . We set

$$T(G) = \{[w] \mid w \in Q(G)\}$$

and call  $T(G)$  the *Teichmüller space* of  $G$ .

Then we have a canonical bijection

$$(1.1) \quad T(G) \ni [w_\mu] \mapsto [U/G_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S)$$

where  $G_\mu = w_\mu G w_\mu^{-1}$  and  $f_\mu$  is the map induced by  $w_\mu : U \rightarrow U$ . Throughout this paper, we always identify  $T(G)$  with  $T(S)$  via the bijection (1.1).

**1.2. Bers fiber space.** For any  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ , there is a unique quasiconformal map  $w^\mu$  of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $w^\mu(0) = 0, w^\mu(1) = 1, w^\mu(\infty) = \infty$  such that  $w^\mu$  satisfies the Beltrami equation  $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu w_z$  on  $U$ , and is conformal on the lower half-plane  $L$ . The *Bers fiber space*  $F(G)$  over  $T(G)$  is defined by

$$F(G) = \{([w_\mu], z) \in T(G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid [w_\mu] \in T(G), z \in w^\mu(U)\}.$$

Take a point  $z_0 \in U$  and denote by  $A$  the set of all points  $g(z_0), g \in G$ . Let

$$v : U \rightarrow U - A$$

be a holomorphic universal covering map. We define

$$\dot{G} = \{h \in \text{Aut } U \mid v \circ h = g \circ v \text{ for some } g \in G\}.$$

We see that  $U/\dot{G} = U/G - \{\pi(z_0)\}$ , where  $\pi : U \rightarrow S = U/G$  is the natural projection. Set  $\dot{S} = U/\dot{G}$ . By Lemma 6.3 of Bers [2], every point in  $F(G)$  is represented as a point  $([w_\mu], w^\mu(z_0))$  for some  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ . For  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ , we define  $\nu \in L_\infty(U, \dot{G})_1$  by

$$\mu(v(z)) \frac{\overline{v'(z)}}{v'(z)} = \nu(z).$$

Then, Bers' isomorphism theorem asserts that the map

$$\varphi : ([w_\mu], w^\mu(z_0)) \mapsto [w_\nu]$$

is a biholomorphic bijection map (cf. Theorem 9 of [2]). Moreover we define a map  $r : T(G) \times U \rightarrow F(G)$  by

$$([w_\mu], z) \mapsto ([w_\mu], h_{[w_\mu]}(z)).$$

where  $U$  is the universal covering of  $S$  and  $h_{[w_\mu]} : U \rightarrow w^\mu(U)$  is the Teichmüller mapping in the class of  $w^\mu$ . We remark that our definition of  $r$  is different from Bers' one. See the proof of Lemma 6.4 of [2]. This map  $r$  is not real analytic, but it is a homeomorphism. This difference does not influence our purpose.

Via the bijection (1.1), the Bers fiber space  $F(S)$  over  $T(S)$  is defined by

$$F(S) = \{([R_\mu, f_\mu], z) \in T(S) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}} \mid [R_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S), z \in w^\mu(U)\}$$

with the projection

$$F(S) \ni ([R_\mu, f_\mu], z) \mapsto [R_\mu, f_\mu] \in T(S).$$

Similarly, we have the isomorphism  $F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  and the homeomorphism  $T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$ , and we denote them by the same symbols  $\varphi$  and  $r$ , respectively.

**1.3. The Bers embedding.** The Teichmüller space  $T(S)$  can be regarded canonically as a bounded domain of a complex Banach space  $B_2(L, G)$  in the following way: Let  $B_2(L, G)$  consist of all holomorphic functions  $\phi$  defined on  $L$  such that

$$\phi(g(z))g'(z)^2 = \phi(z) \text{ for } g \in G \text{ and } z \in L$$

and

$$\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in L} |(\operatorname{Im} z)^2 \phi(z)| < \infty.$$

For any  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ , we denote by  $\phi^\mu$  the Schwarzian derivative of  $w^\mu$  on  $L$ , that is,

$$\phi^\mu(z) = \{w^\mu, z\} = \frac{(w^\mu)'''(z)}{(w^\mu)'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{(w^\mu)''(z)}{(w^\mu)'(z)} \right)^2 \text{ for } z \in L.$$

If  $\mu \in L_\infty(U, G)_1$ , then  $\phi^\mu \in B_2(L, G)$  and the *Bers embedding*  $T(S) \ni [R_\mu, f_\mu] \mapsto \phi^\mu \in B_2(L, G)$  is a biholomorphic bijection of  $T(S)$  onto a holomorphically bounded domain in  $B_2(L, G)$ . From now on, we will identify  $T(S)$  with its image in  $B_2(L, G)$ .

Similarly, we define the Bers embedding of  $T(\dot{S})$  into  $B_2(L, \dot{G})$ . Since  $F(S)$  is a domain of  $B_2(L, G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$  and  $T(\dot{S})$  is a bounded domain in  $B_2(L, \dot{G})$ , we define the topological boundaries of them naturally. Let  $\overline{F(G)}$  denote the closure of  $F(G)$  in  $B_2(L, G) \times \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

**1.4. Main theorem.** Zhang [17] proved the Bers isomorphism  $\varphi$  cannot be continuously extended to  $\overline{F(S)}$  if the dimension of  $T(S)$  is greater than zero. Then we have the following question: Is there some subset of  $\overline{F(S)} - F(S)$  to which  $\varphi$  can be continuously extended?

To do this, we compose the isomorphism  $\varphi : F(S) \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  and the map  $r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow F(S)$ , then we obtain new map  $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be a subset of  $\partial U$  consisting of all points filling  $S$  (cf. §3.3). Our main theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** *The map  $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  has a continuous extension to  $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ .*

The idea of proof of Theorem 4.1 is as follows. For any sequence  $\{(p_m, z_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in  $T(S) \times U$  converging to  $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ , we put  $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m) \in T(\dot{S})$ . We need to prove that the sequence  $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges without depending on the choice of a convergent sequence to  $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ .

Let  $q_0$  be the basepoint of  $T(\dot{S})$ . It is known that the image of the Bers embedding is canonically identified with the slice  $T(\dot{S}) \times \{\bar{q}_0\}$  in the quasifuchsian space which is biholomorphic to  $T(\dot{S}) \times T(\bar{\dot{S}})$  (cf. Chapter 8 of Bers [3]). For each pair  $(q_m, \bar{q}_0) \in T(\dot{S}) \times T(\bar{\dot{S}})$ , there is a unique quasifuchsian group  $\Gamma_m$  up to conjugation such that the conformal boundaries of a hyperbolic manifold  $N_m = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_m$  correspond to the pair  $(q_m, \bar{q}_0)$ .

We assume throughout the paper that quasifuchsian groups  $\Gamma_m$  and manifolds  $N_m$  are marked by a homomorphism and homotopy equivalence, respectively.

For our purpose, it is sufficient to show that a limit  $\Gamma_\infty$  of the sequence  $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is uniquely determined. To do this, we show the following key lemma.

**Lemma 4.1** *Given  $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$ , there exists a filling lamination  $\lambda$  with the following property. For any sequence  $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = z_\infty$  and  $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$*

as above, there exists a sequence of simple closed curves  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  with the following properties:

- (1) The lengths  $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$  of  $\alpha_m$  in  $N_m$  are bounded, and
- (2) the sequence  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\lambda$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})}$ .

Here the definition of  $\overline{\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})}$  will be given in §2 and §3. We remark that  $\lambda$  is identified with an ending lamination by Klarreich's work in [9].

From this lemma, we see that the limit  $\Gamma_\infty$  of  $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is singly degenerate Kleinian group, that is, the region of discontinuity of  $\Gamma_\infty$  is simply connected. Then by using Ending lamination theorem for surface groups of [6],  $\Gamma_\infty$  is uniquely determined by  $(\lambda, \bar{q}_0)$  up to conjugation, and it is the only possible limit.

## 2. GROMOV-HYPERBOLIC SPACES

In this section, we shall give the boundary at infinity of hyperbolic space. For details, see Klarreich [9].

Let  $(\Delta, d)$  be a metric space. If  $\Delta$  is equipped with a basepoint 0, we define the *Gromov product*  $\langle x|y \rangle$  of points  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\Delta$  by

$$\langle x|y \rangle = \langle x|y \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2} \{d(x, 0) + d(y, 0) - d(x, y)\}.$$

For  $\delta \geq 0$ , the metric space  $\Delta$  is said to be  $\delta$ -hyperbolic if

$$\langle x|y \rangle \geq \min\{\langle x|z \rangle, \langle y|z \rangle\} - \delta$$

holds for every  $x, y, z \in \Delta$ . We say that  $\Delta$  is *hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov* if  $\Delta$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta \geq 0$ .

If  $\Delta$  is a hyperbolic space, we can define a boundary of  $\Delta$  in the following way: We say that a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of points in  $\Delta$  *converges at infinity* if it satisfies  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m|x_n \rangle = \infty$ . Given two sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  that converge at infinity, they are called to be *equivalent* if  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_m|y_n \rangle = \infty$ . Since  $\Delta$  is a hyperbolic, we see that this is an equivalence relation ( $\sim$ ). We set

$$\partial_\infty \Delta = \{\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \mid \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \text{ converges at infinity}\} / \sim$$

and call  $\partial_\infty \Delta$  the *boundary at infinity* of  $\Delta$ . If  $\xi \in \partial_\infty \Delta$ , then we say that a sequence of points in  $\Delta$  *converges to*  $\xi$  if the sequence belongs to the equivalence class  $\xi$ . We put

$$\overline{\Delta} = \Delta \cup \partial_\infty \Delta.$$

## 3. LEININGER, MJ AND SCHLEIMER'S WORK

**3.1. The Curve Complex.** Let  $S = U/G$  be a closed Riemann surface of genus  $g (\geq 2)$  and  $\pi : U \rightarrow S$  be the natural projection. We take a point  $z_0$  in  $U$  and set  $\hat{z}_0 = \pi(z_0)$ . Put  $\dot{S} = S \setminus \{\hat{z}_0\}$ .

The curve complex  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  is a simplicial complex which is defined as follows. The vertices of  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  are homotopy classes of nontrivial simple closed curves on  $S$ . Two curves are connected by an edge if they can be realized disjointly on  $S$ , and in general a collection of curves spans a simplex if the curves can be realized disjointly on  $S$ . We define  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$  similarly, with vertices consisting of nontrivial, non-peripheral simple closed curves on  $\dot{S}$ .

We give  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  (resp  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ ) a metric structure by making every simplex a regular Euclidean simplex whose edges have length 1, and define the distance  $d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}$  (resp  $d_{\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})}$ ) by taking shortest paths.

**Theorem 3.1** (Masur and Minsky [12], Theorem 1.1). *The spaces  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$  are  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta > 0$ .*

We put  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(S) = \mathcal{C}(S) \cup \partial_\infty \mathcal{C}(S)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S}) = \mathcal{C}(\dot{S}) \cup \partial_\infty \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ , respectively.

**3.2. Definition of  $\Phi$ .** Denote by  $\text{Diff}^+(S)$  the group of all orientation preserving diffeomorphisms of  $S$  onto itself. Let  $\text{Diff}_0(S)$  be a group which consists of all elements in  $\text{Diff}^+(S)$  isotopic to the identity map  $id$ .

We define the evaluation map

$$\text{ev} : \text{Diff}^+(S) \rightarrow S$$

by  $\text{ev}(f) = f(\hat{z}_0)$ . A theorem of Earle and Eells asserts that  $\text{Diff}_0(S)$  is contractible. Hence, for the map  $\text{ev}|_{\text{Diff}_0(S)}$ , there is a unique lift

$$\tilde{\text{ev}} : \text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow U$$

satisfying the condition that  $\tilde{\text{ev}}(id) = z_0$ .

Following Leininger, Mj and Schleimer [10], we will define a map  $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{C}(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ . To give an idea of the definition of  $\tilde{\Phi}$ , we consider the case of  $\mathcal{C}^0(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$  where  $\mathcal{C}^0(S)$  is 0-skeleton of  $\mathcal{C}(S)$ . Take a point  $(v, f) \in \mathcal{C}^0(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$ . From now on, if no confusion is possible, we identify the homotopy class  $v$  with the geodesic representative. Then there is an isotopy  $f_t$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , between  $f_0 = id$  and  $f_1 = f$ . Setting  $C(t) = f_t(\hat{z}_0)$  for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have a path  $C$  from  $\hat{z}_0$  to  $f(\hat{z}_0)$  on  $S$ . Move a point in  $S$  from  $f(\hat{z}_0)$  to  $\hat{z}_0$  along  $C$  and drag  $v$  back along the moving point. Then we obtain new simple closed curve on  $\dot{S}$  and denote the curve by  $f^{-1}(v)$ . Thus we define  $\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = f^{-1}(v)$ .

However, when  $f(\hat{z}_0) \in v$ , we can not define  $\tilde{\Phi}(v, f)$  as above. We solve this problem in the following way: Now choose  $\{\epsilon(v)\}_{v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  so that the  $\epsilon(v)$ -neighborhood  $N(v) = N_{\epsilon(v)}$  of  $v$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $N(v)$  is homeomorphic to  $S^1 \times [0, 1]$
- (ii)  $N(v_1) \cap N(v_2) = \emptyset$  if  $v_1 \cap v_2 = \emptyset$ .

Let  $N^\circ(v)$  be the interior of  $N(v)$  and  $v^\pm$  the boundary components of  $N(v)$ . Notice that  $\epsilon(v)$  is depending only on the length of the geodesic representative of  $v$  (cf. [7]).

If  $v \subset \mathcal{C}(S)$  is a simplex with vertices  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ , then we consider the barycentric coordinates for points in  $v$ :

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=0}^k s_j v_j \mid \sum_{j=0}^k s_j = 1 \text{ and } s_j \geq 0, \text{ for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k \right\}$$

For a point  $(v, f)$  with  $v$  a vertex of  $\mathcal{C}(S)$ , we can define  $\tilde{\Phi}$  as follows. If  $f(\hat{z}_0) \notin N^\circ(v)$ , then we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = f^{-1}(v)$$

as above.

If  $f(\hat{z}_0) \in N^\circ(v)$ , then  $f^{-1}(v^+)$  and  $f^{-1}(v^-)$  are not isotopic in  $\dot{S}$ . We set

$$t = \frac{d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))}{2\epsilon(v)},$$

where  $d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))$  is the distance inside  $N(v)$  from  $f(\hat{z}_0)$  to  $v^+$ . Then we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(v, f) = tf^{-1}(v^+) + (1-t)f^{-1}(v^-)$$

in barycentric coordinates on the edge  $[f^{-1}(v^+), f^{-1}(v^-)]$ .

In general, for a point  $(x, f) \in \mathcal{C}(S) \times \text{Diff}_0(S)$  with  $x = \sum_{j=0}^k s_j v_j$ , we define  $\tilde{\Phi}(x, f)$  as follows. If  $f(\hat{z}_0) \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^k N^\circ(v_j)$ , then we define

$$\tilde{\Phi}(x, f) = \sum_j s_j f^{-1}(v_j).$$

If  $f(\hat{z}_0) \in N^\circ(v_i)$  for exactly one  $i$ , we set

$$t = \frac{d(v^+, f(\hat{z}_0))}{2\epsilon(v_i)},$$

and define

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}(x, f) = s_i(tf^{-1}(v_i^+) + (1-t)f^{-1}(v_i^-)) + \sum_{j \neq i} s_j f^{-1}(v_j).$$

Finally, by Proposition 2.2 in [10], if  $\tilde{e}v(f_1) = \tilde{e}v(f_2)$  in  $U$ , then we see that  $\tilde{\Phi}(x, f_1) = \tilde{\Phi}(x, f_2)$ . From this, we have a map  $\Phi : \mathcal{C}(S) \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$  satisfying  $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ (id \times \tilde{e}v)$ .

**3.3. Extendibility of  $\Phi$ .** A subsurface of  $S$  is said to be an *essential* if it is either a component of the complement of a geodesic multicurve in  $S$ , the annular neighborhood  $N(v)$  of some geodesic  $v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$ , or else  $S$ .

Given an essential subsurface  $Y$ , if a point  $x \in \partial U$  has the following properties,

- (i) for every geodesic ray  $r \subset U$  ending at  $x$  and for every  $v \in \mathcal{C}^0(S)$  which nontrivially intersects an essential subsurface  $Y$ , we have  $\pi(r) \cap v \neq \emptyset$  and
- (ii) there is a geodesic ray  $r \subset U$  ending at  $x$  such that  $\pi(r) \subset Y$ ,

we call such a point  $x$  a *filling point* for  $Y$  (or simply,  $x$  *fills*  $Y$ ). We set

$$\mathbb{A} = \{x \in \partial U \mid x \text{ fills } S\}.$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** ([10], Theorem 1.1 and 3.6). *For any  $v \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ , the map*

$$\Phi(v, \cdot) : U \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$$

*can be continuously extended to*

$$\bar{\Phi}(v, \cdot) : U \cup \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{C}}(\dot{S}).$$

*Moreover for every  $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $\bar{\Phi}(v, z_\infty)$  does not depend on  $v$ .*

#### 4. MAIN THEOREM

Let  $\gamma$  be a nontrivial simple closed curve on a Riemann surface  $R$ . Denote by  $\text{Mod}(A)$  the modulus of an annulus in  $R$  whose core curve is homotopic in  $R$  to  $\gamma$ . We define the extremal length  $\text{Ext}(\gamma)$  of  $\gamma$  on  $R$  by

$$\text{Ext}_R(\gamma) = \inf_A 1/\text{Mod}(A),$$

where the infimum is over all annuli  $A \subset R$  whose core curve is homotopic in  $R$  to  $\gamma$  (cf. Chapter 4 of Ahlfors [1]).



Given any point  $p = [R, f] \in T(S)$  and a nontrivial simple closed curve  $\alpha$  on  $S$ , we define the extremal length  $\text{Ext}_p(\alpha)$  by

$$\text{Ext}_p(\alpha) = \text{Ext}_R(f(\alpha)).$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *The map  $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  has a continuous extension to  $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{(p_m, z_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be any sequence in  $T(S) \times U$  converging to  $(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ . Put  $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$ . We regard  $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  as the sequence  $\{(q_m, \bar{q}_0)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in a Bers slice of  $T(\dot{S}) \times T(\dot{S})$  where  $q_0$  is the base point  $(\dot{S}, id)$  of  $T(\dot{S})$ .

For each pair  $(q_m, \bar{q}_0) \in T(\dot{S}) \times \{q_0\}$ , there is a unique quasifuchsian group  $\Gamma_m$  up to conjugation such that it uniformizes  $(q_m, \bar{q}_0)$ . For each  $\Gamma_m$ , the quotient space  $N_m = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_m$  is a hyperbolic manifold, where  $\mathbb{H}^3$  is upper half space.

To prove that  $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Given  $z_\infty \in \mathbb{A}$ , there exists a filling lamination  $\lambda$  with the following property. For any sequence  $\{z_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  with  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} z_m = z_\infty$  and  $q_m = \varphi \circ r(p_m, z_m)$  as above, there exists a sequence of simple closed curves  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  with the following properties:*

- (1) *The lengths  $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$  of  $\alpha_m$  in  $N_m$  are bounded, and*
- (2) *the sequence  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\lambda$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 4.1.* First we pick any simple closed curve  $\alpha$  on  $S$  and fix it. By Theorem 3.2,  $\Phi(\alpha, z_m) \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$  and  $\lambda$  does not depend on  $\alpha$ .

Next we produce a sequence of curves which satisfies (1) and (2) as follows. Let  $S_m$  be the underlying Riemann surface for  $p_m$  and  $\hat{h}_m$  the Teichmüller map from  $S$  onto  $S_m$ . Then  $p_m = (S_m, \hat{h}_m)$ . Take  $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \subset \text{Diff}_0(S)$  with  $\text{ev}(f_m) = z_m$ . Then the point  $[S_m - \{\hat{h}_m(\hat{z}_m)\}, \hat{h}_m \circ f_m]$  represents  $q_m$  in  $T(\dot{S})$  where  $\hat{z}_m$  is the image in  $S$  of  $z_m$  via the projection  $U \rightarrow S$ . We choose  $\alpha_m$  to be  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$  if  $\hat{z}_m$  is not contained in  $N^\circ(\alpha)$ , and otherwise let  $\alpha_m$  be a vertex of  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$  with weight at least  $1/2$  in barycentric coordinates on the edge of  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m)$  (cf. (3.1)).

We show that the sequence  $\{\alpha_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  satisfies (1) and (2). By Theorem 3.2,  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha, f_m) = \Phi(\alpha, z_m) \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathcal{C}(\dot{S})$ , which implies (2).

To see (1), first we set

$$E_0 = 1/\text{Mod}(N(\alpha)).$$

Suppose that  $\hat{z}_m = f_m(\hat{z}_0) \notin N^\circ(\alpha)$ . Then the interior of the annulus  $N(\alpha)$  is embedded in  $S - \{\hat{z}_m\}$ . Let  $p_0$  be the besepoint of  $T(S)$ . Since  $\{d_T(p_m, p_\infty)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is a bounded sequence, by using the triangle inequality we see that  $\{d_T(p_m, p_0)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is also a bounded sequence. Hence we may assume that  $K(\hat{h}_m) < K$  for every  $m$  with a sufficiently large  $K(> 1)$ . Since every  $\hat{h}_m$  satisfies

$$\text{Mod}(\hat{h}_m(N(\alpha))) \geq 1/(KE_0),$$

we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m) \leq KE_0.$$

Suppose  $\hat{z}_m \in N^\circ(\alpha)$ . Let  $\alpha^*$  be the core geodesic of  $N(\alpha)$  and denote by  $\alpha^\pm$  the components of  $\partial N(\alpha)$ . Take a conformal (not isometric) coordinates

$$g_m : \alpha^* \times [-\epsilon(\alpha), \epsilon(\alpha)] \rightarrow N(\alpha)$$

such that  $\alpha^* \times \{0\}$  maps to the core geodesic of  $N(\alpha)$  and for each  $t$ ,  $\alpha^* \times \{t\}$  is sent to the equidistant circle to the core geodesic. Let  $t_m \in [-\epsilon(\alpha), \epsilon(\alpha)]$  such that  $\hat{z}_m \in g_m(\alpha^* \times \{t_m\})$ . We suppose  $t_m > 0$ . The case  $t_m \leq 0$  can be dealt with the same manner.

Let  $A_m$  be the component of  $N(\alpha) \setminus g_m(\alpha^* \times \{t_m\})$  which is containing  $\alpha^*$ . Since  $g_m$  is conformal,

$$\text{Mod}(A_m) \geq \text{Mod}(N(\alpha))/2.$$

Thus

$$\text{Mod}(\hat{h}_m(A_m)) \geq 1/(2KE_0).$$

By the definition of  $\alpha_m$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m) = \text{Ext}_{q_m}(f_m^{-1}(\alpha^-)) \leq 2KE_0.$$

From (4.1) and (4.2), we conclude that  $\text{Ext}_{q_m}(\alpha_m)$  are bounded above. By Maskit's comparizon theorem of [11], we see that  $\ell_{q_m}(\alpha_m)$  are bounded above. Here for any point  $q = [\hat{R}, \hat{f}] \in T(\hat{S})$  and a nontrivial simple closed curve  $\gamma$  on  $\hat{S}$  the symbol  $\ell_q(\gamma)$  means the length of the geodesic representative of the homotopy class of  $\hat{f}(\gamma)$  in the hyperbolic metric on  $\hat{R}$ . Therefore by Bers inequality, we have

$$\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m) \leq 2\min\{\ell_{q_m}(\alpha_m), \ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)\},$$

and hence  $\ell_{N_m}(\alpha_m)$  are uniformly bounded, which implies (1).  $\blacksquare$

We now return to the proof of Theorem 4.1. Consider the normalized sequence  $\{\alpha_m/\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ . This sequence has a convergent subsequence (represented by the same indices) to a measured lamination  $\nu$ , which by Theorem 1.4 of [9] has the same support as  $\lambda$  from Lemma 4.1 (2).

For a hyperbolic manifold  $N$  with marked homotopy equivalence  $\hat{S} \rightarrow N$ , and a measured lamination  $\xi$  on  $\hat{S}$ , we denote by  $\underline{\ell}_N(\xi)$  the extended length of  $\xi$  in  $N$  (see Brock [5]). Any quasifuchsian group uniformizing  $(q_m, \bar{q}_0)$  admits a natural marked homotopy equivalence inherited from that of  $q_m$ . By Brock's continuity theorem we get

$$\underline{\ell}_{N_m} \left( \frac{\alpha_m}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \right) \rightarrow \underline{\ell}_{N_\infty}(\nu) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $N_\infty = \mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma_\infty$  is a marked hyperbolic manifold and  $\Gamma_\infty$  is an algebraic limit of the subsequence  $\{\Gamma_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ . (cf. Theorem 2 of [5]. See also Lemma 3.1 of Ohshika [16]). On the other hand, from (2) of Lemma 4.1, because  $\alpha_m$  tends to infinity in  $\mathcal{C}(\hat{S})$ , in the fixed metric  $q_0$ , we must have  $\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, from (1) in Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\ell}_{N_m} \left( \frac{\alpha_m}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \right) &= \frac{1}{\ell_{q_0}(\alpha_m)} \underline{\ell}_{N_m}(\alpha_m) \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (} m \rightarrow \infty \text{),} \end{aligned}$$

and thus the length of  $\nu$  in  $N_\infty$  is zero. Since the support of  $\nu$  contains  $\lambda$  as its support, the length of  $\lambda$  in  $N_\infty$  is also zero. Hence  $\lambda$  is not realizable in  $N_\infty$ . Since  $\lambda$  is filling, it follows  $\Gamma_\infty$  is a singly degenerate Kleinian group. By using Ending lamination theorem for surface groups of [6],  $\Gamma_\infty$  is uniquely determined by  $(\lambda, \bar{q}_0)$  up to conjugation. By Theorem 3.2,  $\lambda$  depends only on  $z_\infty$ . Thus the sequence  $\{q_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges without depending on the choice of a convergent sequence to

$(p_\infty, z_\infty) \in T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ . Hence we conclude that the map  $\varphi \circ r : T(S) \times U \rightarrow T(\dot{S})$  has a continuous extension to  $T(S) \times \mathbb{A}$ . ■

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors wish to thank to the referee for valuable suggestions on the improvement of our proof of Main theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. Lars V. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants : topics in geometric function theory*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Dusseldorf-Johannesburg, 1973.
2. L. Bers, *Fiber spaces over Teichmüller spaces*, Acta. Math. **130** (1973), pp. 83–126
3. ———, *Finite dimensional Teichmüller spaces and generalizations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **5** (1981), no. 2, pp. 131–172.
4. ———, *An inequality for Riemann surfaces*, In *Differential geometry and complex analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1985), pp. 87–93.
5. Jeffrey F. Brock, *Continuity of Thurston's length function*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), no. 4, pp. 741–797.
6. Jeffrey F. Brock, Richard D. Canary and Yair N. Minsky *The classification of Kleinian surface groups, II: The Ending Lamination Conjecture*, arXiv:math.GT/0412006, pp. 1–143.
7. P. Buser, *Geometry and spectra of compact Riemann surfaces*, Birkhäuser Boston, 1992.
8. Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An Introduction to Teichmüller Spaces*, Springer-Verlag, Tokyo and New York, 1992.
9. E. Klarreich, *The Boundary at infinity of the curve complex and relative Teichmüller Spaces*, preprint.
10. Christopher J. Leininger, Mahan Mj and S. Schleimer, *The universal Cannon-Thurston map and the boundary of the curve complex*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), no. 4, pp. 769–816.
11. B. Maskit, *Comparison of hyperbolic and extremal lengths*, Ann. Acad. Fenn. Math. **10** (1985), pp. 381–386.
12. Howard A. Masur and Yair N. Minsky, *Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 1, pp. 103–149.
13. K. Matsuzaki and M. Taniguchi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
14. Yair N. Minsky, *Teichmüller geodesics and ends of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Topology **32** (1993), no. 3, pp. 625–647,
15. ———, *On rigidity, limit sets, and end invariants of hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc **7** (1994). pp. 539–588.
16. K. Ohshika, *Limits of geometrically tame Kleinian groups*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), no. 1, pp. 185–203.
17. C. Zhang, *Non-extendibility of the Bers isomorphism*, Proc. Amer. Math Soc. **123**, no 8, (1995), pp. 2451–2458.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, MACHIKANNEYAMA 1-1, TOYONAKA, OSAKA, 560-0043, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* miyachi@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY ADVANCED MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, SUGIMOTO, SUMIYOSHI-KU OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* nogi@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp