ON BOARDMAN'S GENERATING SETS OF THE UNORIENTED BORDISM RING

| メタデータ | 言語: English |
|-------|--|
| | 出版者: Osaka University and Osaka City University, |
| | Departments of Mathematics |
| | 公開日: 2024-09-09 |
| | キーワード (Ja): |
| | キーワード (En): |
| | 作成者: 柴田, 勝征 |
| | メールアドレス: |
| | 所属: |
| URL | https://ocu-omu.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2008226 |

Shibata, K. Osaka J. Math. 8 (1971), 219-232

ON BOARDMAN'S GENERATING SETS OF THE UNORIENTED BORDISM RING

KATSUYUKI SHIBATA

(Received September 24, 1970)

Introduction

For a pointed finite CW pair (X, A), define as usual the k-dimensional unoriented cobordism group $\mathfrak{N}^{k}(X, A)$ of (X, A) by

$$\mathfrak{N}^{k}(X, A) = \varinjlim_{n} \left[S^{n-k}(X|A), MO(n) \right],$$
$$\sum_{-\infty < k < \infty} \mathfrak{N}^{k}(X, A) \quad \text{by} \quad \mathfrak{N}^{*}(X, A).$$

and denote

We identify the coeficient ring \mathfrak{N}^* with the unoriented bordism ring \mathfrak{N}_* by the Atiyah-Poincaré duality [2]

$$D: \mathfrak{N}_k \to \mathfrak{N}^{-k}$$
.

Let P_n be the *n*-dimensional real projective space and η_n be the canonical line bundle over P_n . Define

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) = \lim_{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\underset{n}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathfrak{N}^*(P_n) \simeq \mathfrak{N}_*[[W_1]],$$

where $W_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} W_1(\eta_n)$ is the cobordism first Stiefel-Whitney class [4]. On account of the Kunneth formula, the homomorphism

$$\mu_{m,n}^*:\mathfrak{N}^*(P_{m+n})\to\mathfrak{N}^*(P_m\times P_n)$$

induced by a continuous map $\mu_{m,n}$ satisfying $\mu_{m,n}^* \eta_{m+n} \simeq \pi_1^* \eta_m \otimes \pi_2^* \eta_n$ gives rise to the comultiplication

$$\mu^* \colon \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \to \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \underset{\mathfrak{N}_*}{\otimes} \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$$

Let

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots \quad (z_i \in \mathfrak{N}_i)$$

be a primitive element in $\Re^*(BO(1))$ with respect to this comultiplication. Such

elements exist ([3]). Fix once and for all a primitive element P of such kind.

Following Novikov [8, appendix II], we define in section 1 a cobordism stable operation Φ_P which is a multiplicative projection characterised by the formula

$$\Phi_P(W_1) = P \, .$$

The restriction of the natural transformation

$$\mu \mid \text{Image } \Phi_P \colon \text{Image } \Phi_P \to H^*(X, A; Z_2)$$

is a natural ring isomorphism in the category of finite CW pairs. And this induces a natural \Re_* -algebra isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) \simeq \mathfrak{N}_* \bigotimes H^*(X, A; Z_2).$$

Conversely, any such natural isomorphism, commuting with suspensions, is induced by Φ_P for some choice of a primitive element P.

In section 2, we study the relation between the operations S_{ω} and \bar{S}_{ω} defined in [8]. The result is applied in section 3 to prove that the coefficient z_{2k} of a primitive element P is the bordism class $[P_{2k}]$ of the real projective space for each $k \ge 0$.

And the coefficient z_{4k+1} is shown to be the class [P(1, 2k)] of Dold manifold [5] in section 4.

The coefficients z_i of dimensions *i* other than 2k and 4k+1 are expressed as very complicated polynomials in the generators of Dold [5] or of Milnor [7].

The present paper is motivated by the following classification theorem stated in the proof of Theorem 8.1 in [3].

Theorem. P. (Boardman [3])

For an arbitrary family of decomposable elements $\{y_{2^{i}-1}; y_{2^{i}-1} \in \mathfrak{N}_{2^{i}-1}, i \geq 1\}$, there exists one and the only one primitive element

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

in $\Re^*(BO(1))$, satisfying

$$z_{2^{i-1}} = y_{2^{i-1}} \quad (i \ge 1)$$
.

The coefficients z_{k-1} with k not a power of 2 are a set of polynomial generators for \Re_* .

Moreover, if $z_{2^{i}-1} = z'_{2^{i}-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ for primitive elements

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

and

$$P' = W_1 + z'_2 W_1^3 + z'_4 W_1^5 + z'_5 W_1^6 + z'_6 W_1^7 + z'_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

then $z_{k-1} = z'_{k-1}$ for k not a multiple of 2^{n+1} .

The author wishes to thank Professors M. Nakaoka and F. Uchida for their advices and encouragement.

1. Operation Φ_P

Let $\mathcal{A}^*(0) = \sum_{\infty < i < \infty} \mathcal{A}^i(0)$ denote the ring of stable operations in the unoriented cobordism theory. There is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{N}_* -modules ([6], [8])

$$\Psi: \mathcal{A}^*(0) \to \mathfrak{N}_* \bigotimes Z_2[[W_1, W_2, \cdots, W_k, \cdots]],$$

where \mathfrak{N}_* is identified with \mathfrak{N}^* by the duality and \bigotimes denotes the complete tensor product.

For a partition $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$, denote W_{ω} the symmetrized monomial of the W_k and the operation $S_{\omega} \in \mathcal{A}^*(0)$ is defined by $S_{\omega} = \Psi^{-1}(W_{\omega})$.

For a primitive element

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

in $\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$ and for a partition $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$, we denote the product $z_{i_1} \cdot z_{i_2} \cdots z_{i_r}$ as $z_{\omega}^{(P)}$.

Following the line of Novikov [8; appendix II], we define an operation $\Phi_P \in \mathcal{A}^0(0)$ by

$$\Phi_P = \sum_{oldsymbol{\omega}} z^{(P)}_{oldsymbol{\omega}} S_{oldsymbol{\omega}}$$
 ,

where the summation runs through all the partitions.

Lemma 1.1.

(1)
$$\Phi_P(x \cdot y) = \Phi_P(x) \cdot \Phi_P(y).$$

(2)
$$\Phi_P(z_0) = z_0$$
 for $z_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_0$ and
 $\Phi_P(y) = 0$ for $y \in \mathfrak{N}_i$ $(i > 0)$.

$$(3) \quad (\Phi_P)^2 = \Phi_P \, .$$

Proof.

(1). By the definition of Φ_P and from the Cartan formula for S_{ω} ([6], [8]), part (1) is easily derived.

(2). It is obvious by definition that $\Phi_P(z_0) = z_0$ for $z_0 \in \mathfrak{N}_0$.

It is known that $S_{\omega}(W_1) = W_1^{k+1}$ if $\omega = (k)$ for some $k \ge 0$ and that $S_{\omega}(W_1) = 0$ otherwise ([6], [8]). Thus $\Phi_P(W_1) = P$. By the naturality of Φ_P , $(\Phi_P)^2(W_1) = \Phi_P(P)$ is also a primitive element with the leading term W_1 . So it follows from Theorem P in the introduction together with the fact that $\mathfrak{N}_1 \simeq \mathfrak{N}_3 \simeq \{0\}$ that

$$(\Phi_P)^2(W_1) - \Phi_P(W_1) = \sum_{j \ge 1} y_{s_{j-1}} W_1^{s_j}$$

for some decomposable elements $y_{s_{j-1}} \in \mathfrak{N}_{s_{j-1}}$.

On the other hand,

$$(\Phi_P)^2(W_1) - \Phi_P(W_1) = \Phi_P(W_1 + \sum_{k \ge 3} z_{k-1} W_1^k) - \Phi_P(W_1)$$

= $\sum_{k \ge 3} \Phi_P(z_{k-1}) (W_1 + \sum_{l \ge 3} z_{l-1} W_1^l)^k .$

Comparing both formulas, we see that $\Phi_P(z_{k-1})=0$ for $k \leq 7$. So $\Phi_P(z_{k-1})=0$ since z_7 is decomposable. So $y_7=0$ and it follows Theorem P that $y_{16j+7}=0$ for all $j \geq 0$. Repeting this procedure, we can inductively deduce that $\Phi_P(z_{k-1})=0$ for all $k \geq 3$. At the same time we have proved that $(\Phi_P)^2(W_1)=\Phi_P(W_1)$.

Now $(\Phi_P)^2$ is also a multiplicative operation. As in the weakly complex case ([8]), a multiplicative operation of the unoriented cobordism theory is easily seen to be uniquely determined by its value on W_1 . Therefore $(\Phi_P)^2 = \Phi_P$. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.1.

Notation. For a partition $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$, let $||\omega|| = i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_r$ be its degree and $|\omega| = r$ its length. And we call ω non-dyadic if none of the component i_k of ω is of the form $2^m - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. On the category of finite pointed CW pairs and continuous maps, there is a natural direct sum splitting as a graded Z_z -vector space

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) = \bigoplus_{\omega: \text{ non-dyadic}} z^{(P)}_{\omega} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)),$$

where (1) the restriction

$$\mu \mid \text{Image } \Phi_P : \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) \to H^*(X, A; Z_2)$$

is a natural Z_2 -algebra isomorphism, and (2) the scalar multiplication

$$z_{\omega}^{(P)} \cup : \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) \to z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A))$$

is a graded Z_2 -module isomorphism of degree $-||\omega||$ if ω is non-dyadic. Therefore we obtain a natural equivalence of graded \Re_* -algebras

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathfrak{N}^* \bigotimes^{\sim} H^*(X, A; Z_2)$$

which commutes with suspension. (Suspension S and a bordism element x act on the right by $S(y \otimes a) = y \otimes S(a)$ and $x(y \otimes a) = x \cdot y \otimes a$, respectively.)

Moreover, the converse holds; such an equivalence is induced by $\bigoplus_{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P$ for some choice of a primitive element P.

For the proof of the above theorem, we need the following operations which

are just the unoriented analogue of those defined in [8].

Lemma 1.3.

For an indecomposable element $y_i \in \mathfrak{N}_i$, define an operation $\Delta_{y_i} = \sum_{k \ge 1} y_i^{k-1} S_{(i)}^k$. ((i)^k=(i, i, ..., i); the k copies of i)

Then

$$\Delta_{y_i}(a \cdot b) = \Delta_{y_i}(a) \cdot b + a \cdot \Delta_{y_i}(b) + y_i \cdot \Delta_{y_i}(a) \cdot \Delta_{y_i}(b)$$

and, in particular,

$$\Delta_{y_i}(y_i \cdot a) = a \, .$$

The proof of the lemma is straightforward from the definition of Δ_{y_i} and the fact that $S_{(i)}(y_i)=1 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

First we prove property (1). By (2) of Lemma 1.1, property (1) holds for $(X, A) = (S^0, P)$. Since Φ_P commutes with suspensions, (1) also holds for $(X, A) = (S^n, P)$ for $n \ge 1$. Since Φ_P is a projection, $\Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(,))$ is also a cohomology theory. So the general cases are proved by induction on the number of cells in X-A, using the five lemma.

Next we prove property (2). The multiplication

$$z_{\omega}^{(P)} \cup : \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) \to z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A))$$

is obviously a graded Z_2 -module epimorphism of degree $-||\omega||$.

Suppose $z_{\omega}^{(P)} \cdot a = 0$ for $a \in \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A))$ and for a non-dyadic ω . Order the components of $\omega = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ as $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq i_r$ and define the operation $\Delta_{z_{\omega}}^{(P)}$ by

$$\Delta_{z\omega}^{(P)} = \Delta_{z_{i_1}} \circ \Delta_{z_{i_2}} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_{z_{i_r}}.$$

Then $a = \Delta_{z\omega}^{(P)}(z_{\omega}^{(P)} \cdot a) = \Delta_{z\omega}^{(P)}(0) = 0$ by Lemma 1.3. This proves property (2).

Totally order the set of all non-dyadic partitions by $\omega' < \omega$ if $(a) ||\omega'|| < ||\omega||$ or $(b) ||\omega'|| = ||\omega||$ and $i_r = j_s, \dots, i_{r-m+1} = j_{s-m+1}, i_{r-m} > j_{s-m}$ for some $m \ge 0$, where $\omega' = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r)$ and $\omega = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s)$ with $i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_r$ and $j_1 \le j_2 \le \dots \le j_s$. We show that

$$\Phi_P \Delta_{z \, \omega'}^{(P)}(z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y)) = 0$$

for any homogeneous element y if $\omega' < \omega$. In case $||\omega'|| < ||\omega||$, Lemma 1.3 implies that

$$\Phi_P \Delta_{z \omega'}^{(P)}(z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y)) = \Phi_P(\sum_i u_i \cdot y_i)$$

for some elements $u_i \in \mathfrak{N}_*$ and $y_i \in \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A))$ with dim $u_i \ge ||\omega|| - ||\omega'|| > 0$. Thus, by Lemma 1.1 (1), (2),

$$\Phi_P(\sum_i u_i y_i) = \sum_i \Phi_P(u_i) \Phi_P(y_i) = 0.$$

In case $||\omega'|| = ||\omega||$ and $i_r = j_s, \dots, i_{r-m} > j_{s-m}$,

$$\begin{split} \Phi_P \Delta_{z\omega'}^{(P)}(z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y)) \\ &= \Phi_P \Delta_{z(i_1,\cdots,i_{r-m-1})}^{(P)}(z_{j_1}\cdots z_{j_{s-m}} \Delta_{z_{ir-m}} \Phi_P(y)) = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

The last equality follows from the preceding case.

Let $\sum_{\omega' < \omega} z_{\omega'}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{R}^*(X, A))$ be the graded vector space spanned by all $z_{\omega'}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{R}^*(X, A))$ with $\omega' < \omega$.

It follows from the above fact that

ω

$$\sum_{\omega' < \omega} z_{\omega'}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*X, A)) \cap z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) = 0$$

for each ω , so that there is a direct sum splitting

$$\sum_{\text{; non-dyadic}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) = \bigoplus_{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) .$$

Since it can be proved similarly as above that Image $(\Phi_P \circ \Delta_{z\omega}^{(P)})$ =Image Φ_P for each non-dyadic ω , we have proved that there is a natural linear endomorphism of degree zero

$$\sum_{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P \Delta_{z_{\omega}}^{(P)} \colon \mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) \to \bigoplus_{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) \subset \mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) .$$

It is clearly an automorphism for $(X, A) = (S^0, P)$ and therefore an automorphism for every finite CW pair by the effect of suspensions and of the five lemma. Thus

$$\bigoplus_{{}^{\wp};\,\mathrm{non-dyadic}} z^{\scriptscriptstyle (P)}_{{}^{\wp}} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*\!(X,\,A)) = \mathfrak{N}^*\!(X,\,A)\,.$$

Since $z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y) \cdot z_{\omega'}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y') = z_{\omega\omega'}^{(P)} \Phi_P(y \cdot y')$, we have obtained a natural equivalence of graded \Re_* -algebras

$$\Theta_P: \mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) \simeq \mathfrak{N}^* \bigotimes^{\frown} H^*(X, A; Z_2)$$

which commutes with suspension.

Conversely, each such equivalence Θ induces a natural monomorphism of a graded Z_2 -algebra

$$\lambda = \Theta^{-1} | H^*(X, A; Z_2) \colon H^*(X, A; Z_2) \to \mathfrak{N}^*(X, A).$$

Then the composition $\lambda \circ \mu$ is a stable miltiplicative operation in $\mathcal{A}^*(0)$ and $\lambda \circ \mu(W_1) = \lambda(w_1) = P$ is a primitive element in $\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$. And the element P has the leading term W_1 since

$$\Theta: \mathfrak{N}_*[[W_1]] \to \mathfrak{N}_* \bigotimes^{\frown} Z_2[[w_1]]$$

is an \mathfrak{N}_* -algebra isomorphism. Therefore

$$\Theta = \bigoplus_{\substack{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}}} \{1 \bigotimes (\mu \mid \text{Image } \Phi_P)\}:$$

$$\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}}} z_{\omega}^{(P)} \Phi_P(\mathfrak{N}^*(X, A)) \to \bigoplus_{\substack{\omega; \text{ non-dyadic}}} \{z_{\omega}^{(P)} \bigotimes H^*(X, A; Z_2)\}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

2. Operations \bar{S}_{ω}

Let \overline{W}_{ω} denote the symmetrized monomial of the cobordism normal characteristic classes \overline{W}_{k} . $(\overline{W}_{\omega}(\xi) = W_{\omega}(-\xi)$ for every stable vector bundle ξ .) The operation \overline{S}_{ω} is defined in [8] by $\overline{S}_{\omega} = \Psi^{-1}(\overline{W}_{\omega})$, where Ψ is the additive isomorphism mentioned in section 1.

Notation 2.1. (Landweber [6])

For a partition $\omega = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$ let $r_{\omega}(i)$ denote the occurrences of the integer i in ω . And define

$$\binom{n}{\omega} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n < |\omega| = r \\ \frac{n!}{r_{\omega}(1)! r_{\omega}(2)! \cdots (n-|\omega|)!} & \text{if } n \ge |\omega|. \end{cases}$$

The modulo 2 reduction of $\binom{n}{\omega}$ is denoted by $\binom{n}{\omega}_2$.

Similarly to the weakly complex case [8], we can easily determine the value $\bar{S}_{\omega}[P_{k}]$.

Lemma 2.2.

(1) $\bar{S}_{\omega}[P_{k}] = {\binom{k+1}{\omega}}_{2}[P_{k-||\omega||}].$ (2) $S_{\omega}[P_{k}] = {\binom{2^{p}-k-1}{\omega}}_{2}[P_{k-||\omega||}]$ for p such that $2^{p}>k+1.$

Proof. By the geometric interpretation of the action of $\mathcal{A}^*(0)$ on \mathfrak{N}_* given in [6], [8], $\bar{S}_{\omega}[P_k] = \varepsilon W_{\omega}(\tau_{P_k}) = \varepsilon {\binom{k+1}{\omega}}_2 W_1^{||\omega||} = {\binom{k+1}{\omega}}_2 [P_{k-||\omega||}]$. Part (2) is proved similarly. Now we give some relations between S_{ω} and \bar{S}_{ω} .

Lemma 2.3.

(1) If the occurrence $r_{\omega}(i) \leq 1$ in ω for all *i*, then $S_{\omega} = \overline{S}_{\omega}$.

(2)
$$S_{(i)^k} = \sum_{||\omega||=k} \overline{S}_{i*\omega}$$
 and dually
 $\overline{S}_{(i)^k} = \sum_{||\omega||=k} S_{i*\omega}$,

where $i \ast \omega$ is meant a partition $(i \cdot j_1, i \cdot j_2, \dots, i \cdot j_r)$ for $\omega = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r)$.

After Landweber [6] we denote the partition $(i)^{k}$ by $k\Delta_{i}$ and the totality of linear combinations of the S_{ω} by $A^{*}(0)$. $A^{*}(0)$ is proved a Hopf algebra over Z_{2} ([6], [8]).

Theorem 2.4. (Landweber [6])

The set $\{S_{2^{k}\Delta_{1}}, S_{2^{k}\Delta_{2}}; k \ge 0\}$ provides a minimal set of generators of $A^{*}(0)$.

Corollary 2.5.

The set $\{\overline{S}_{2^{k}\Delta 1}, \overline{S}_{2^{k}\Delta 2}; k \ge 0\}$ provides a minimal set of generators of $A^{*}(0)$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3.

By the Whitney product formula, it follows that $\sum_{\omega=\omega_1\omega_2} W_{\omega_1} \cdot \bar{W}_{\omega_2} = 0$ if $\omega \neq (0)$. Therefore $W_{(i)} = \bar{W}_{(i)}$ for all $i \ge 1$ and we see by induction on the lengths of partitions that $W_{\omega} = \bar{W}_{\omega}$ if $r_{\omega}(i) \le 1$ for all *i*. Part (1) follows from this and from the definition of S_{ω} and \bar{S}_{ω} .

Put

$$\sum_{0 \le i \le s} \bar{W}_i x^i = \prod_{1 \le j \le s} (1 + u_j x)$$

for a sufficiently large s.

Then part (2) of the lemma is proved by induction on k as follows;

$$\begin{split} W_{(i)^{k}} &= \sum_{0 \le l \le k-1} W_{(i)^{l}} \bar{W}_{(i)^{k-l}} = \sum_{0 \le l \le k-1} \left(\sum_{||w||=l} \bar{W}_{i*\omega} \right) \cdot \bar{W}_{(i)^{k-l}} \\ &= \sum_{0 \le l \le k-1} \left\{ \sum_{j_{1}+\dots+j_{m}=l} \left(\sum \left(u_{1}^{i} \right)^{j_{1}} \dots \left(u_{m}^{i} \right)^{j_{m}} \right) \right\} \left\{ \sum \left(u_{1}^{i} \right) \dots \left(u_{k-l}^{i} \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i_{1}+\dots+i_{n}=k} \left(\sum \left(u_{1}^{i} \right)^{i_{1}} \dots \left(u_{n}^{i} \right)^{i_{n}} \right) \left(\sum_{0 \le l \le k-1} \binom{n}{k-l}_{2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{||w||=k} \overline{W}_{i^{*}\omega} \binom{|\omega|}{0}_{2} = \sum_{||w||=k} \overline{W}_{i^{*}\omega} \,. \end{split}$$

Part (2) follows from this.

Proof of Corollary 2.5. It follows from Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 that

$$ar{S}_{\Delta_1} = S_{\Delta_1}$$
,
 $ar{S}_{2^k \Delta_1} = S_{2^k \Delta_1} + S_{2^{k-1} \Delta_2} + \text{decomposables in } A^*(0)$, and
 $ar{S}_{2^k \Delta_2} = S_{2^k \Delta_2} + \text{decomposables in } A^*(0)$.

Thus the corollary follows from Theorem 2.4.

3. Even dimensional coefficients

Following suit of Novikov [8, appendix I], we obtain the following. We omit the proof.

Lemma 3.1.

For a partition ω and for a positive integer $k=2^{p}(2q+1)$ $(p\geq 0, q\geq 1)$, the following formula holds if $||\omega||\geq 2^{p}$;

$$\sum_{\omega_{=\omega_1}\omega_2} S_{\omega_1}(z_{oldsymbol{k}-1-||\omega_2||}) {inom{k-||\omega_2||}{\omega_2}}_2 = 0 \ ,$$

where the z_i denote the coefficients of a fixed primitive element P as in the introduction.

Now we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2.

The coefficient z_{2k} of a primitive element

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

4

in $\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$ is equal to the bordism class $[P_{2k}]$ for all $k \ge 1$.

Proof. For k-1, the theorem is clear since z_2 is indecomposable from Theorem P in the introduction.

Assume that the theorem holds up to dimension $2(k-1) \ge 2$.

In order to show that $S_{\omega}(z_{2k}+[P_{2k}])=\overline{W}_{\omega}(z_{2k}+[P_{2k}])=0$ for all ω with $||\omega|| = 2k$, it saffices from Theorem 2.4 to prove

$$S_{2^{s}\Delta_{i}}(z_{2k}+[P_{2k}])=0 \quad (i=1,2).$$

To prove this, we see from Lemma 3.1 and the induction assumption that it is sufficient to show

$$\sum_{\substack{n = 2^{s}}} S_{m\Delta_{i}}[P_{2k-ni}] \binom{2k+1-ni}{n}_{2} = 0 \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

This is obvious in case $2^{s}i > 2k$ or s=0 since

$$S_{m\Delta_i}[P_{2k-ni}] = \left\{\sum_{||\omega||=m} \binom{2k+1-ni}{\omega}_2\right\} [P_{2k-2^s_i}]$$

by Lemmas 2.2 (1) and 2.3 (2).

m

For the remaining cases, it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.

(1)
$$\sum_{m+n=s} \left(\sum_{||\omega||=m} \binom{k-n}{\omega} \right) \binom{k-n}{n} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for } k \ge s \ge 2.$$

(2)
$$\sum_{m+n=s} \left(\sum_{||\omega||=m} \binom{k-2n}{\omega} \right) \binom{k-2n}{n} \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \text{ for } k \ge 2s \ge 2.$$

Proof.

(1) Put

$$A(k, s) = \sum_{m+n=s} \left(\sum_{||w||=m} \binom{k-n}{\omega} \right) \binom{k-n}{n} \quad (k \ge 0, s \ge 0), \text{ and}$$
$$B(k, s) = \sum_{m+n=s} \left(\sum_{||w||=m} \binom{k-2n}{\omega} \right) \binom{k-2n}{n} \quad (k \ge 0, s \ge 0).$$

Then it holds in general that

$$\binom{k-n}{n} = \binom{k-n-1}{n} + \binom{k-n-1}{n-1} \text{ and}$$
$$\sum_{\substack{1 \mid \omega \mid 1 = m \\ \omega}} \binom{k-n}{\omega} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq |1 \mid \omega \mid 1 \leq m \\ \omega}} \binom{k-n-1}{\omega}.$$

So we obtain that ,

(*)
$$A(k, s) = \sum_{0 \le s' \le s} A(k-1, s') + \sum_{0 \le s'' \le s-1} A(k-2, s'')$$
 and
(**) $B(k, s) = \sum_{0 \le s' \le s} B(k-1, s') + \sum_{0 \le s'' \le s-1} B(k-3, s'').$

Part (1) clearly holds when k=s=2.

Assume, by induction, that (1) holds for such (k, s) that $k_0 > k \ge 2$ and $k \ge s \ge 2$.

Thus, for (k_0, s_0) with $k_0 > s_0 \ge 2$,

$$A(k_0, s_0) \equiv \sum_{s'=0,1} A(k_0 - 1, s') + \sum_{s''=0,1} A(k_0 - 2, s'') \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

by the induction hypothesis and by the fact that $A(k, s) \equiv 1$ for $k \ge s$ and s=0, 1. And for (k_0, k_0) , the iterated application of (*) shows that

$$egin{aligned} A(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) &\equiv A(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!-\!1,\,k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\!+\!A(k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!-\!2,\,k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!-\!1) \ &\equiv A(1,\,k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\!+\!\sum_{\scriptscriptstyle 0\leq s^{\prime\prime\prime}\leq k_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-1}\!A(0,\,s^{\prime\,\prime})\equiv 0 \pmod{2}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Part (2) of the lemma is proved similarly, using the formula (**) repeatedly. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.2.

REMARK 3.4. Theorem 3.2 has been proved independently by F. Uchida [9] by a geometric method.

4. The coefficients of dimensions 4k+1

A. Dold has defined in [5] manifolds P(m, n) which are the identification

spaces of $S^m \times CP_n$ with $(x, z) = (-x, \overline{z})$. He proved that, for $2^p(2q+1)-1$ $(p \ge 1, q \ge 1)$, the bordism class $[P(2^p-1, 2^pq)]$ provides a polynomial generator of \mathfrak{N}_* in the corresponding dimension.

Theorem 4.1.

The coefficient z_{4k+1} of a primitive element

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_5 W_1^6 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_7 W_1^8 + \cdots$$

in $\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$ is equal to the bordism class [P(1, 2k)] for all $k \ge 1$.

For the proof of this theorem, we need the following notations.

Notation 4.2.

Let c_p(m) denote the coefficient of 2^p in the dyadic expansion of the integer m;

$$m = c_0(m) + c_1(m) \cdot 2 + c_2(m) \cdot 2^2 + \cdots, c_i(m) = 0, 1.$$

(2) For a partition ω , we denote by $\omega(c_p)$ the partition determined by $r_{\omega(c_p)}(i) = c_p(r_{\omega}(i))$ for all $i \ge 1$. Thus $\omega = \prod_{0 \le p} (\omega(c_p))^{2^p}$. For brevity, $\prod_{2 \le p} (\omega(c_p))^{2^{p-2}}$ and $\omega(c_1)^2 \cdot \omega(c_0)$ are denoted as $\overline{\omega}$ and $\overline{\overline{\omega}}$, respectively; $\omega = (\overline{\omega})^4 \overline{\omega}$.

Lemma 4.3.

$$\binom{n}{\omega}_{2} = \prod_{0 \leq p} \binom{c_{p}(n)}{\omega(c_{p})}_{2}. \quad \text{Thus} \binom{n}{\omega}_{2} = \binom{n-c_{1}(n)\cdot 2-c_{0}(n)}{4}_{\overline{\omega}} \binom{c_{1}(n)\cdot 2+c_{0}(n)}{\overline{\omega}}_{2}.$$

Proof. By definition,

$$\binom{n}{\omega}_{2} = \binom{n}{r_{\omega}(1)}_{2} \binom{n-r_{\omega}(1)}{r_{\omega}(2)}_{2} \cdots \binom{n-\sum\limits_{1 \leq i \leq k-1} r_{\omega}(i)}{r_{\omega}(k)}_{2} \cdots$$

Then, by Lucus' theorem [1],

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{1\leq k} \binom{n-\sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k-1} r_{\omega}(i)}{r_{\omega}(k)} = \prod_{1\leq k} \left(\prod_{0\leq k} \binom{c_{p}(n-\sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k-1} r_{\omega}(i))}{c_{p}(r_{\omega}(k))} \right)_{2} \right) \\ &= \prod_{0\leq k} \left(\prod_{1\leq k} \binom{c_{p}(n)-\sum\limits_{1\leq i\leq k-1} c_{p}(r_{\omega}(i))}{c_{p}(r_{\omega}(k))} \right)_{2} \right) = \prod_{0\leq k} \binom{c_{p}(n)}{\omega(c_{p})}_{2}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

Now we calculate all the normal Stiefel-Whitney numbers of P(1, 2k). It is easily seen that the cobordism Stiefel-Whitney numbers of manifolds agree with

the cohomological ones ([6], [8]). So, by abuse of a notation, we denote both Stiefel-Whitney numbers by W_{ω} (and the normal ones by \overline{W}_{ω}).

Lemma 4.4.

$$\bar{W}_{\omega}[P(1, 2k)] = \begin{cases} 0 \quad if \quad |\bar{\varpi}| \ge 3 \quad and \quad \bar{\varpi} \pm 3\Delta_1 \quad or \quad \bar{\varpi} = (1), \\ \left(\frac{2^p - 1 - k}{\bar{\varpi}}\right)_2 \quad \text{if} \quad \bar{\varpi} = 3\Delta_1 \quad \text{or} \\ 2 \ge |\bar{\varpi}| \ge 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\varpi} \pm (1), \end{cases}$$

where p is any integer with $2^{p} > k+1$.

Proof.

According to Dold [5].

$$H^{*}(P(1, 2k); Z_{2}) \simeq H^{*}(P_{1} \times CP_{2k}; Z_{2})$$

as a ring. Let c and d denote the 1- and 2-dimensional generators of $H^*(P(1, 2k); Z_2)$. The total Whitney class is given in [5] by

$$w_*P(1, 2k) = (1+c)(1+c+d)^{2k+1}$$

and thus

$$\overline{w}_*P(1, 2k) = (1+c)(1+t)^{4(2^{k}-k-1)}(1+t_1)(1+t_2),$$

where p is any integer with $2^{p} > k+1$ and $t^{2} = t_{1} \cdot t_{2} = d$ and $t_{1} + t_{2} = c$.

By formula (26) in [5],

$$t_1^{2i} + t_2^{2i} = 0$$
 and $t_1^{2i+1} + t_2^{2i+1} = cd^i$.

The lemma follows from these facts and the preceding lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem P in the introduction asserts that $z_{4+1} = [P(1, 2)]$. Assume, by induction, that $z_{4k'+1} = [P(1, 2k')]$ for $k' \leq k-1$.

By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, together with Lemma 2.2 (2), 4.3 and 4.4,

$$S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = \sum_{\substack{\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \\ ||\omega_2|| = 4m \neq 0}} S_{\omega_1}(z_{4(k-m)+1}) \binom{k-m}{\overline{\omega}}_2 \binom{2}{\overline{\omega}}_2 \\ + \sum_{\substack{\omega = \omega_1 \omega_2 \\ ||\omega_2|| = 2n+1}} \binom{2^p - 1 - 4||\overline{\omega}_1|| - ||\overline{\omega}_1||}{(\overline{\omega}_1)^4 \overline{\omega}_1} \binom{2}{2} \binom{4||\overline{\omega}_1|| + ||\overline{\omega}_1|| + 1}{(\overline{\omega}_2)^4 \overline{\omega}_2} \binom{2}{2}_2$$

for ω such that $||\omega|| = 4k+1$. (The terms with $||\omega_2|| \equiv 2$ vanish by Lemma 4.3.)

Therefore, by the induction hypothesis and by Lemma 4.3, together with the fact that $|\bar{\bar{\omega}}_1| + |\bar{\bar{\omega}}_2| = |\bar{\bar{\omega}}| + 4l$ ($l \ge 0$), it can be shown that

$$S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = \sum 0 + \sum 0 = 0$$
 if $|\bar{\bar{\omega}}| \ge 5$.

In case $\bar{\bar{\omega}} = (2i, 2i, 4j, 4(k-||\bar{\omega}||-i-j)+1),$

$$\begin{split} S_{\mathbf{w}}(z_{\mathbf{4k+1}}) = & \sum_{\overline{w} = \overline{w}_1 \overline{w}_2} \left\{ \binom{2^{p'} - 1 - (k - ||\overline{w}_2|| - i)}{\overline{w}_1} \binom{k - ||\overline{w}_2|| - i}{\overline{w}_2} \right\}_2 \\ & + \binom{2^{p'} - 1 - (||\overline{w}|| + i + j - ||\overline{w}_2||)}{\overline{w}_1} \binom{||\overline{w}|| + i + j - ||\overline{w}_2||}{\overline{w}_2} \right\}_2 \end{split}$$

by the induction hypothesis and by Lemma 4.3.

Suppose $\binom{2^{p'}-1-(k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i)}{\overline{\omega}_1}\binom{k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i}{\overline{\omega}_2}=1$ for some separation

 $\overline{\omega}_1 \overline{\omega}_2$ of $\overline{\omega}$.

Since $c_p(2^{p'}-1-(k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i)) \neq c_p(k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i)$ for each p, there is at most one $i \geq 1$ such that $c_p(r_{\overline{\omega}}(i)) \neq 0$. Let r be the number of such odd integers $2i+1 \geq 1$ that satisfy $r_{\overline{\omega}}(2i+1) > 0$. Then, by Lemma 4.3, the numbers of such separations $\overline{\omega}_1 \overline{\omega}_2 = \overline{\omega}$ and $\overline{\omega}_1' \overline{\omega}_2' = \overline{\omega}$ that satisfy

$${2^{p'-1-(k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i)} \choose \overline{\omega}_1} {k-||\overline{\omega}_2||-i \choose \overline{\omega}_2} = 1$$
 and
 ${2^{p'-1-(||\overline{\omega}||+j+k-||\overline{\omega}_2'||)} \choose \overline{\omega}_1'} {||\overline{\omega}||+j+k-||\overline{\omega}_2'|| \choose \overline{\omega}_2'} = 1$,

respectively, are both 2^r .

The situation is the same if we suppose

$$\binom{2^{p'}-1-(||\overline{\omega}||+j+k-||\overline{\omega}_2||)}{\overline{\omega}_1}\binom{||\overline{\omega}||+j+k-||\overline{\omega}_2||}{\overline{\omega}_2} = 1$$

for some separation $\overline{\omega}_1 \cdot \overline{\omega}_2 = \overline{\omega}$.

Therefore $S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = 0 + 0 = 0$ or = 1 + 1 = 0 if $\bar{\omega} = (2j, 2j, 4k, 4(s - ||\bar{\omega}|| - j - k) + 1).$

We can prove analogously in other cases when $\overline{\bar{\omega}} = (1)$ or $|\overline{\bar{\omega}}| \ge 3$ and $\overline{\bar{\omega}} \pm 3\Delta_1$ that $S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = 0$.

When $|\bar{\omega}|=2$, from dimensional reasons, $\bar{\omega}=(2j, 4(s-||\bar{\omega}||)-2j+1)$ for some $j \ge 1$. In this case

$$\begin{split} S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) &= \sum_{\overline{\omega} = \overline{\omega}_1 \overline{\omega}_2 \atop \overline{\omega}_2 \neq (0)} \binom{2^p - 1 - (k - ||\overline{\omega}_2||)}{\overline{\omega}_1} \binom{k - ||\overline{\omega}_2||}{\overline{\omega}_2} \\ &+ \sum_{\overline{\omega} = \overline{\omega}_1 \overline{\omega}_2} \binom{2^p - 1 - (2||\overline{\omega}|| - 2||\overline{\omega}_2|| + j)}{\overline{\omega}_1} \binom{2||\overline{\omega}|| - 2||\overline{\omega}_2|| + j}{\overline{\omega}_2} \\ &= \sum_{\overline{\omega} = \overline{\omega} \cdot (0)} \binom{2^p - 1 - (k - ||\overline{\omega}_2||)}{\overline{\omega}_1} \binom{k - ||\overline{\omega}_2||}{\overline{\omega}_2} \binom{2^p - 1 - (k - ||\overline{\omega}_2||)}{\overline{\omega}_2} \\ &= \binom{2^p - 1 - k}{\overline{\omega}}_2 \end{split}$$

as required.

When $\bar{\omega} = 3\Delta_1$ or $\bar{\omega} = \Delta_{4n+1}$ $(n \ge 1)$, analogous arguments show that $S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = \binom{2^p - 1 - k}{\bar{\omega}}$.

Comparing these facts with Lemma 4.4, we deduce that $S_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = \overline{W}_{\omega}(z_{4k+1}) = \overline{W}_{\omega}(P(1, 2k))$ for all ω with $||\omega|| = 4k+1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] J. Adem: *The relations on Steenrod powers of cohomology classes*, Algebraic Geometry and Topology, Princeton University Press, 1957, 191-238.
- [2] M.F. Atiyah: Bordism and cobordism, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 57 (1961), 200-208.
- [3] J.M. Boardman: Stable Homotopy Theory, Ch. IV, (mimeographed) Warwick Univ., 1967.
- [4] P.E. Conner E.E. Floyd: The Relation of Cobordism to K-theories, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
- [5] A. Dold: Erzeugende der Thomschen Algebra R, Math. Z. 65 (1956), 25-35.
- [6] P.S. Landweber: Cobordism operations and Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (1967), 94-110.
- [7] J. Milnor: On the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of complex manifolds and of spin manifolds, Topology 3 (1965), 223-230.
- [8] S.P. Novikov: The method of algebraic topology from the view point of cobordism (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 31 (1967), 855-951.
- [9] F. Uchida: Bordism algebra of involutions, Proc. Japan Acad. 46 (1970), 615-619.